string citations in legal writing

String Citations in Legal Writing: Mastering the Art of Authority Support

string citations in legal writing play a pivotal role in crafting persuasive, credible, and well-supported legal arguments. Whether you're a seasoned attorney, a law student, or a legal researcher, understanding how to effectively use string citations can dramatically enhance your writing. Unlike simple citations that reference a single case or authority, string citations allow writers to consolidate multiple sources that support a single proposition or statement. This technique not only showcases thorough research but also strengthens the weight of the argument being presented.

In this article, we'll dive deep into the nuances of string citations in legal writing, explore best practices, and uncover how to balance clarity with thoroughness. Along the way, we'll touch on related concepts such as citation formats, parallel citations, and the importance of proper authority hierarchy to ensure your legal writing remains both professional and compelling.

What Are String Citations in Legal Writing?

At its core, a string citation is a series of citations strung together, usually separated by semicolons, to support a single legal proposition. Instead of depending on one case or statute, a writer can cite multiple authorities that collectively bolster the point being made. This approach is particularly useful when the legal principle is well-established or when multiple jurisdictions or sources have addressed the same issue.

For example, instead of citing just one case for the proposition that "negligence requires a duty of care," a string citation might include several cases from different courts or times, all affirming that principle. This multiplicity of references not only signals thorough research but also anticipates counterarguments by showing the proposition's broad acceptance.

Why Use String Citations?

String citations are invaluable tools in legal writing because they:

- **Demonstrate comprehensive research**: They show that you've examined a spectrum of authorities rather than cherry-picking a single case.
- **Enhance persuasive power**: Multiple sources provide a stronger foundation for your argument.
- **Save space and avoid redundancy**: Instead of repeating the same proposition with different citations, string citations consolidate references efficiently.
- **Provide flexibility**: Different authorities may add nuances or complementary points to support your stance.

However, string citations should be used judiciously to avoid clutter and confusion.

How to Format String Citations Correctly

Proper formatting is crucial for maintaining clarity and professionalism in legal documents. Most jurisdictions and courts rely on established citation manuals, such as The Bluebook in the United States, to standardize how citations should appear.

Basic Structure of a String Citation

A typical string citation looks like this:

> Case A, 123 F.3d 456, 458 (9th Cir. 2010); Case B, 789 U.S. 101, 105 (2015); Statute C, 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Key points to remember:

- **Separate each citation with a semicolon**.
- **Include parallel citations** if necessary, especially when a case is reported in multiple reporters.
- **Maintain consistent citation style** according to the relevant legal citation guide.
- **Order citations logically**—often by jurisdiction, authority type, or relevance.

Organizing Authorities Within a String Citation

When stringing multiple sources together, consider how to order them effectively. Common approaches include:

- **By authority type**: Cases first, then statutes, then secondary sources like law review articles.
- **By jurisdictional hierarchy**: Federal cases before state cases, or higher courts before lower courts.
- **Chronologically**: From earliest to latest to show the development of legal principles over time.

Choosing the right order helps readers quickly understand the weight and relevance of each cited authority.

Tips for Effective Use of String Citations in Legal Briefs and Memoranda

While string citations are powerful, misuse can hinder readability or weaken your argument. Here are some tips to keep your citations clear and effective:

Be Selective and Relevant

Avoid overloading your string citation with too many cases or sources. Instead, pick the most authoritative and directly relevant ones. Including too many citations can overwhelm readers and dilute your main point.

Use Parentheticals to Clarify

Often, adding a brief parenthetical explanation after a citation helps clarify why the authority is relevant. For example:

> Smith v. Jones, 456 U.S. 789, 795 (1984) (holding that duty arises from foreseeability).

In string citations, use parentheticals sparingly and only when necessary to avoid clutter.

Balance String Citations with Narrative Explanation

Citations alone don't make an argument. Your writing should weave the cited authorities into a coherent narrative, explaining how they support your proposition. This approach makes your argument accessible and persuasive.

Check for Consistency and Accuracy

Always double-check that citations are accurate, up to date, and formatted consistently. Incorrect or outdated citations can undermine your credibility.

Common Pitfalls to Avoid with String Citations

Even experienced legal writers can fall into certain traps when using string citations. Awareness of these pitfalls will help you avoid them.

Overstuffing the Citation

While it's tempting to demonstrate exhaustive research, including too many citations can clutter your work and confuse readers. Stick to key authorities that genuinely add value.

Ignoring Jurisdictional Differences

Not all cases carry equal weight. Federal cases may not be binding in state courts and vice versa. Be mindful of the jurisdictional authority of each citation in your string.

Failing to Update Citations

Legal authorities can be overturned, superseded, or modified. Always confirm that your cited cases remain good law before including them.

String Citations and Citation Management Tools

With the rise of legal technology, numerous citation management tools can assist with string citations. Software like Westlaw, LexisNexis, and citation generators can help format citations and even suggest parallel citations automatically.

Using these tools can save time and reduce errors, especially when dealing with complex string citations. However, human oversight remains essential to ensure relevance and accuracy.

Integrating Technology with Legal Writing Skills

While citation software is helpful, it cannot replace a lawyer's judgment in selecting the best authorities or crafting persuasive legal prose. Always review automated citations critically and tailor your string citations to fit your argument's unique needs.

The Role of String Citations in Legal Scholarship and Academia

Beyond litigation documents, string citations are common in academic legal writing such as law review articles, theses, and textbooks. In these contexts, they serve a dual purpose: supporting scholarly arguments and guiding readers toward additional sources for deeper research.

Academic writing often demands more extensive string citations, reflecting a broader engagement with legal literature. Yet, clarity remains paramount. Writers must balance comprehensive referencing with readability to keep the audience engaged.

Crafting Effective String Citations in Academic Papers

- Prioritize seminal and highly-cited authorities.
- Use explanatory parentheticals to connect citations to your argument.
- Group related authorities thematically rather than merely listing them.
- Regularly update citations to reflect the latest developments in the field.

Final Thoughts on String Citations in Legal Writing

Mastering string citations in legal writing is essential for anyone looking to produce authoritative and persuasive legal documents. When used thoughtfully, string citations demonstrate your grasp of the law, reinforce your arguments, and guide readers through the complex web of legal authority.

The key lies in balance: selecting the right authorities, formatting them clearly, and integrating them seamlessly into your narrative. As you refine these skills, your legal writing will not only gain in credibility but also in impact—making your arguments more convincing and your documents more professional.

Frequently Asked Questions

What are string citations in legal writing?

String citations are a series of legal authorities cited together in support of a single proposition or statement in legal writing. They typically appear as a list of cases, statutes, or other sources separated by commas.

Why are string citations important in legal writing?

String citations provide comprehensive support for legal arguments by referencing multiple authorities. They demonstrate thorough research and lend credibility to the writer's position.

How should string citations be formatted according to the Bluebook?

According to the Bluebook, string citations should list authorities separated by semicolons, arranged in a specific order such as cases, statutes, and other sources, and should be concise without unnecessary repetition.

Can string citations include different types of legal sources?

Yes, string citations can include various types of legal sources such as cases, statutes, regulations, law review articles, and treatises, all cited together to support a single point.

What are the common mistakes to avoid when using string citations?

Common mistakes include overloading string citations with unnecessary authorities, improper formatting, failing to verify the relevance of cited sources, and mixing unrelated citations that confuse the reader.

How do string citations differ from single citations in legal writing?

Single citations refer to one authority supporting a statement, while string citations compile multiple authorities in one citation to provide broader support or show consensus among sources.

When should a legal writer use string citations?

Legal writers use string citations when a proposition is supported by multiple authorities or when demonstrating that multiple courts or statutes align on a legal issue, thereby strengthening the argument.

Are there any tools to help generate and format string citations?

Yes, legal research platforms like Westlaw and LexisNexis often provide tools to generate citations, and citation management software can help format string citations according to legal citation standards.

Additional Resources

String Citations in Legal Writing: An In-Depth Examination

String citations in legal writing represent a specialized method for referencing multiple authorities within a single footnote or parenthetical citation. This technique is indispensable for attorneys, law clerks, and scholars who seek to bolster legal arguments by citing a series of precedential cases, statutes, or secondary sources that collectively support a particular proposition. However, the practice of string citing is often scrutinized for its complexity, potential for overuse, and impact on readability. This article delves into the nuances of string citations in legal writing, exploring their strategic utility, best practices, and the challenges they pose within the broader context of legal scholarship and advocacy.

The Role of String Citations in Legal Argumentation

In legal writing, string citations serve as a tool to convey the breadth of authority backing a legal assertion efficiently. Rather than citing a single case, a string citation lists multiple cases, statutes, or other authorities sequentially, often separated by semicolons. This approach enables the writer to demonstrate a consensus or a spectrum of relevant precedents without burdening the main text with excessive detail.

String citations are particularly prevalent in appellate briefs, judicial opinions, law review articles, and memoranda where demonstrating comprehensive legal research is paramount. For example, when establishing a well-settled principle of law, a string citation can effectively show that numerous courts have addressed the issue similarly, adding weight to the argument.

Strategic Advantages of String Citations

- **Efficiency in Legal Writing:** String citations condense multiple references into a compact format, preserving the flow of prose while maintaining thorough support.

- **Demonstration of Exhaustive Research:** By including an array of authorities, writers signal their diligence and the robustness of their legal analysis.

- **Highlighting Jurisdictional Variance or Consensus:** Including cases from different jurisdictions or levels of court can illustrate either the uniformity or divergence in legal interpretations.

Despite these benefits, string citations can sometimes overwhelm readers, especially if the list becomes unwieldy or includes less relevant authorities.

Best Practices for Crafting Effective String Citations

Creating string citations that enhance rather than detract from legal writing requires attention to clarity, relevance, and adherence to citation conventions such as those outlined in *The Bluebook: A Uniform System of Citation* or the *ALWD Citation Manual*. Legal writers must balance thoroughness with readability.

Relevance and Selectivity

Not every authority that touches on a legal point merits inclusion in a string citation. The most persuasive string citations selectively include only those cases or statutes that directly support the proposition. Over-inclusion can dilute the strength of the argument and confuse the reader.

Organization and Punctuation

The order of citations within a string often follows a logical or hierarchical pattern: from binding authority to persuasive authority, or from primary sources such as statutes to secondary sources like law review articles. Proper punctuation—typically semicolons separating each citation—ensures clarity.

Use of Parentheticals and Short Explanations

Adding brief parentheticals to string citations can elucidate why a particular case is cited, such as "(affirming summary judgment)" or "(discussing due process)." This technique guides the reader through the string and underscores the relevance of each authority.

Challenges and Criticisms of String Citations in Legal Writing

While string citations are a cornerstone of legal research and writing, they are not without their detractors. Critics argue that excessive reliance on string citations can obscure the writer's voice and overcomplicate the text.

Impact on Readability

Long strings of citations can interrupt the narrative flow, leading to "citation clutter" that frustrates readers who must parse through dense footnotes or parentheticals. This can be particularly problematic in documents intended for judges or clients who prefer concise, accessible arguments.

Potential for Overcitation

Some legal writers may feel compelled to include as many authorities as possible to appear thorough, resulting in "citation padding." This practice can backfire by undermining the writer's credibility or masking weaker points in the argument.

Balancing Authority with Narrative

Effective legal writing demands that citations serve the argument rather than dominate it. Writers must strive to integrate string citations seamlessly, ensuring they complement the analysis rather than overwhelm it.

Comparing String Citations Across Legal Systems and Styles

The usage and formatting of string citations can vary between common law and civil law jurisdictions, as well as among different citation manuals.

Common Law Jurisdictions

In the United States, string citations are pervasive and governed primarily by *The Bluebook*. The Bluebook's detailed rules on citation order, abbreviation, and punctuation shape how string citations are constructed. Similarly, Canada and Australia employ their own citation guides but often mirror these practices.

Civil Law Jurisdictions

In civil law countries, legal writing tends to emphasize statutory and doctrinal authority rather than the extensive case law citations typical in common law systems. Consequently, string citations of multiple cases are less common, and when used, they follow different conventions.

Law Review and Scholarly Writing

Academic legal writing often employs string citations to demonstrate comprehensive engagement with the literature. However, many law reviews encourage writers to be judicious, prioritizing clarity over exhaustive citation.

Technological Tools and String Citations

Modern legal research platforms like Westlaw, LexisNexis, and Bloomberg Law facilitate the retrieval and management of multiple citations, enabling writers to assemble string citations more efficiently. Additionally, citation management software such as Zotero or EndNote, adapted for legal citation formats, helps authors organize references and maintain consistency.

However, technology cannot substitute for the critical judgment required to select and order citations appropriately. The human element remains crucial in determining which authorities genuinely bolster an argument.

Educational Implications: Teaching String Citations

Law schools emphasize the importance of proper citation, including string citations, as foundational skills for budding attorneys. Legal writing courses often address:

1. How to assemble string citations that are relevant and persuasive;

2. How to avoid common pitfalls like overcitation;

3. How to balance citations with original analysis.

Mastery of string citations is viewed not merely as a technical skill but as integral to effective legal communication.

String citations in legal writing exemplify the intersection of precision, strategy, and clarity in the practice of law. When wielded thoughtfully, they provide powerful support for legal arguments,

demonstrating the depth and breadth of research. Yet, their effectiveness depends on careful curation and presentation, lest they become obstacles to understanding. As legal professionals continue to navigate an ever-expanding body of law, string citations will remain a vital, though nuanced, component of legal discourse.

String Citations In Legal Writing

Find other PDF articles:

string citations in legal writing: *Legal Writing in Plain English* Bryan A. Garner, 2022-12-22 This easy-to-follow guide is useful both as a general course of instruction and as a targeted aid in solving particular legal writing problems. — Harvard Law Review Clear, concise, down-to-earth, and powerful—all too often, legal writing embodies none of these qualities. Its reputation for obscurity and needless legalese is widespread. For more than twenty years, Bryan A. Garner's Legal Writing in Plain English has helped address this problem by providing lawyers, judges, paralegals, law students, and legal scholars with sound advice and practical tools for improving their written work. The leading guide to clear writing in the field, this indispensable volume encourages legal writers to challenge conventions and offers valuable insights into the writing process that will appeal to other professionals: how to organize ideas, create and refine prose, and improve editing skills. Accessible and witty, Legal Writing in Plain English draws on real-life writing samples that Garner has gathered through decades of teaching. Trenchant advice covers all types of legal materials, from analytical and persuasive writing to legal drafting, and the book's principles are reinforced by sets of basic, intermediate, and advanced exercises in each section. In this new edition, Garner preserves the successful structure of the original while adjusting the content to make it even more classroom-friendly. He includes case examples from the past decade and addresses the widespread use of legal documents in electronic formats. His book remains the standard guide for producing the jargon-free language that clients demand and courts reward. Those who are willing to approach the book systematically and to complete the exercises will see dramatic improvements in their writing. — Law Library Journal

string citations in legal writing: Elegant Legal Writing Ryan McCarl, 2024-02-06 Elegant Legal Writing provides short, practical tips to help attorneys make their writing as clear and readable as possible, reducing the reader's cognitive burden so they can focus on your argument--

string citations in legal writing: Plain Language Legal Writing Cheryl Stephens, 2008-07 A complete guide to clean, precise and understandable legal writing So many books give you advice that turns out to be hollow: know your audience, structure your writing. The real strength in Plain Language Legal Writing is how, throughout, Stephens provides clear instructions on how to accomplish what she's recommending. Instead of just telling you to plan what you're going to write, she walks you step-by-step through the planning. Instead of telling you to consider your audience before writing, she describes in detail the sorts of audiences a legal document might have (more than you'd expect!) and how to best meet their needs. Plain Language Legal Writing will help you produce documents that people are willing to read and able to understand. More: PlainLanguageLegalWriting.comOther versions: e-book

string citations in legal writing: Legal Writing, Analysis, and Oral Argument Larry L. Teply, 1990 This book provides numerous illustrations and highlights important points to facilitate

learning. The problems in the text allow readers (1) to test their understanding and ability to analyze, and (2) to practice applying what they have learned. Readers receive immediate feedback on how well they have done by consulting a detailed discussion in an appendix. Follows the basic pattern of legal research and analysis in practice: analyzing the facts; identifying legal problems; defining a writing project; developing a research plan; taking research notes; reading, analyzing, and briefing cases; editing and proofreading; formulating arguments; and using persuasive writing techniques.

string citations in legal writing: Judicial Writing Chinua Asuzu, 2016-05-04 To validate their institutional continuance as a branch of government, writes Chinua Asuzu, judges must make sound decisions. They must also articulate and express those decisions efficiently and comprehensibly. This book shows how. This book will help judges, arbitrators, and other decision-writers master the art and science of judicial writing. A most welcome guide, Judicial Writing: A Benchmark for the Benchsets a high, yet attainable, standard of excellence for writing judicial decisions. It will no doubt become the reference point for judging judges and their judgments. Chinua Asuzu is that uncommon lawyer who wrote The Uncommon Law of Learned Writing. His other works includeAnatomy of a Brief andFair Hearing in Nigeria. A versatile arbitrator, Asuzu served as an administrative-law judge at the Tax Appeal Tribunal in Nigeria from 2010 to 2016.He is now the Senior Partner of Assizes Lawfirm, a team of tax lawyers.

string citations in legal writing: Legal Writing in Plain English, Third Edition Bryan A. Garner, 2023-09-06 The leading guide to clear writing!--StartFragment --—and clear thinking!--StartFragment --—in the legal profession for more than two decades, now newly updated. Admirably clear, concise, down-to-earth, and powerful—all too often, legal writing embodies none of these qualities. Its reputation for obscurity and needless legalese is widespread. Since 2001, Bryan A. Garner's Legal Writing in Plain English has helped address this problem by providing lawyers, judges, paralegals, law students, and legal scholars with sound advice and practical tools for improving their written work. Now the leading guide to clear writing in the field, this indispensable volume encourages legal writers to challenge conventions and offers valuable insights into the writing process: how to organize ideas, create and refine prose, and improve editing skills. Accessible and witty, Legal Writing in Plain English draws on real-life writing samples that Garner has gathered through decades of teaching experience. Trenchant advice covers all types of legal materials, from analytical and persuasive writing to legal drafting, and the book's principles are reinforced by sets of basic, intermediate, and advanced exercises in each section. For this third edition, Garner has retained the structure of the previous versions, with updates and new material throughout. There are new sections on making your writing vivid and concrete and on using graphics to enhance your argument. The coverage and examples of key topics such as achieving parallelism, avoiding legalese, writing effective openers and summaries, and weaving quotations into your text have also been expanded. And the sample legal documents and exercises have been updated, while newly added checklists provide quick summaries of each section. Altogether, this new edition will be the most useful yet for legal professionals and students seeking to improve their prose.

string citations in legal writing: Legal Writing: Process, Analysis, and Organization ,

string citations in legal writing: Expert Legal Writing Terri LeClercq, 2010-05-28 For many years, Terri LeClercq's Legal Writing column in the Texas Bar Journal helped polish the prose of lawyers and law students, judges and clerks, paralegals, writing instructors, and legal secretaries. This book collects all the advice she has given in her columns into one authoritative guide for expert legal writing. LeClercq covers everything a legal writer needs to know, from the mechanics of grammar and punctuation to the finer points of style, organization, and clarity of meaning. With her practical, readable, and often humorous advice, those who prepare legal documents can rid their prose of mind-numbing legalese and write with the clarity and precision that characterize the very best legal writing.

string citations in legal writing: Legal Writing and Analysis ,

string citations in legal writing: Brief-Writing Master Plan Chinua Asuzu, 2022-08-23 As much a sword as a shield, Brief-Writing Master Plan offers an unparalleled and unprecedented curriculum of written advocacy. It's a sparkling, alchemical blend of doctrine, ethics, and skills. It recruits linguistics, logic, psychology, rhetoric, and semantics into the arsenal of learned advocacy. It contains the rhetorical wisdom of ages, pages, and sages. An advocate files a brief to persuade the judge to decide the lawsuit in favor of the advocate's client. The keyword is persuade. Too often, advocates forget this and write to please themselves. They address themselves instead of the court. They write in chest-thumping prose and style. Advocates will do well to keep in mind that in advocacy, all that counts is persuading the judiciary. Hence, Brief-Writing Master Plan responds to the judicial wish list for advocates' writing style and substance. This book is a transformative resource with the potential to accelerate court proceedings by easing judicial burdens and caseloads. A sober reflection on the advocate's duty to the court, Brief-Writing Master Plan encourages professional candor, decency, and honesty. Writing as taught in this book will surely propel you to the top 1% of the global legal profession and secure your legacy.

string citations in legal writing: The Lawyer's Guide to Writing Well Tom Goldstein, Jethro K. Lieberman, 2016-01-08 This best-selling book outlines the causes and consequences of bad legal writing and prescribes straightforward, easy-to-apply remedies that will make your writing readable. Usage notes address lawyers' most common errors, and editing exercises allow readers to test their skills, making this an invaluable tool for practicing lawyers as well as a sensible grounding for law students. New sections in this edition: - Getting to the point - Communicating digitally - Writing persuasively - Twenty-five common mistakes--Provided by publisher.

string citations in legal writing: Legal Research and Writing Carol M. Bast, 1995 With this book students learn to perform legal research in the law library and on the computer, use correct citation form, communicate clearly, and eliminate mechanical errors. Superb teaching tools include complete samples of legal documents, with foot notes to give students step-by-step assistance in preparing them. ALSO AVAILABLE INSTRUCTOR SUPPLEMENTS CALL CUSTOMER SUPPORT TO ORDER Computerized Testbank, ISBN: 0-8273-7134-9 Instructor's Guide, ISBN: 0-8273-7186-1

string citations in legal writing: Garner on Language and Writing Bryan A. Garner, 2009 Since the 1987 appearance of A Dictionary of Modern Legal Usage, Bryan A. Garner has proved to be a versatile and prolific writer on legal-linguistic subjects. This collection of his essays shows both profound scholarship and sharp wit. The essays cover subjects as wide-ranging as learning to write, style, persuasion, contractual and legislative drafting, grammar, lexicography, writing in law school, writing in law practice, judicial writing, and all the literature relating to these diverse subjects.

string citations in legal writing: The Handbook for the New Legal Writer ,

string citations in legal writing: Department of Justice Manual Wolters Kluwer, 2012-03-23 The new Department of Justice Manual, Third Edition takes you inside all the policies and directives outlined in the latest U.S. Attorneys' Manual used universally by the DOJ in civil and criminal prosecutions. Along with comprehensive coverage of all the information relied on by today's DOJ attorneys, this guide offers you other valuable DOJ publications in the form of Annotations. You'll find the Asset Forfeiture Manual, the Freedom of Information Act Case List, and Merger Guidelines. And it's all incorporated in a comprehensive six-volume reference. You'll discover how to: Request immunity for clients using actual terminology from factors that DOJ attorneys must consider Phrase a FOIA request so as to avoid coming within an exempted category of information Draft discovery requests using terminology to avoid triggering an automatic denial by the DOJ Counsel clients on DOJ investigative tactics and their significance using actual DOJ memoranda; Develop trial strategies that exploit common problems with certain methods of proof and kinds of evidence offered by the government Propose settlements or plea-bargain agreements within the authority of the DOJ attorney handling the case. This new Third Edition of Department of Justice Manual has been expanded to eight volumes and the materials have been completely revised to accommodate newly added materials including: the text of the Code of Federal Regulations: Title 28and–Judicial Administration, as relevant to the enforcement of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines by the

Department of Justice; The Manual for Complex Litigation; and The United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines Manual. The new edition also includes The National Drug Threat Assessment for Fiscal Year 2011 and the updated version of the Prosecuting Computer Crimes Manual. In an effort to provide you with the best resource possible, as part of the Third Edition, the Commentaries in each volume have been renumbered to refer to the relevant section in the United States Attorneyand's Manual for more efficient cross referencing between the Manual and the Commentaries.

string citations in legal writing: The Winning Brief: 100 Tips for Persuasive Briefing in Trial and Appellate Courts Bryan A. Garner, 2004-02-13 Good legal writing wins court cases. It its first edition, The Winning Brief proved that the key to writing well is understanding the judicial readership. Now, in a revised and updated version of this modern classic, Bryan A. Garner explains the art of effective writing in 100 concise, practical, and easy-to-use sections. Covering everything from the rules for planning and organizing a brief to openers that can capture a judge's attention from the first few words, these tips add up to the most compelling, orderly, and visually appealing brief that an advocate can present. In Garner's view, good writing is good thinking put to paper. Never write a sentence that you couldn't easily speak, he warns-and demonstrates how to do just that. Beginning each tip with a set of quotable quotes from experts, he then gives masterly advice on building sound paragraphs, drafting crisp sentences, choosing the best words (Strike pursuant to from your vocabulary.), quoting authority, citing sources, and designing a document that looks as impressive as it reads. Throughout, he shows how to edit for maximal impact, using vivid before-and-after examples that apply the basics of rhetoric to persuasive writing. Filled with examples of good and bad writing from actual briefs filed in courts of all types, The Winning Brief also covers the new appellate rules for preparing federal briefs. Constantly collecting material from his seminars and polling judges for their preferences, the second edition delivers the same solid guidelines with even more supporting evidence. Including for the first time sections on the ever-changing rules of acceptable legal writing, Garner's new edition keeps even the most seasoned lawyers on their toes and writing briefs that win cases. An invaluable resource for attorneys, law clerks, judges, paralegals, law students and their teachers, The Winning Brief has the qualities that make all of Garner's books so popular: authority, accessibility, and page after page of techniques that work. If you're writing to win a case, this book shouldn't merely be on your shelf--it should be open on your desk.

string citations in legal writing: Legal Writing Linda Holdeman Edwards, 1999 With its process-oriented approch and effective demonstration of the interrelationship between resoning and writing, this book is a favorite of instructors who want to concentrate on the basics of legl reasoning and writing. Now in its Second Edition, this phenomenally successful paperback has been revised and improved. The author's careful attention to different lerning stypels keeps the book accesible and teachable while reflecting comments from instructors, both in the choice of material and in the scope and depth of coverage. Noted author Linda Holdermann Edwards retains the popular features that earned the enormously effective First Edition such widespread adoption for course use including: a step-by-step overview of the process of legal writing: outlining; creating a working draft; developing a final document; and revising effectively legal analysis linked To The large-scale organization of the document concrete examples and explanations that reinforce the materials copious exercises which help students build their writing skills In response to user feedback, The book now offers: a new section on oral argument a reworked process chapter fine-tuning of the persuasive writing section to facilitate smoother teaching new exercises a thorough Teacher's Manual appendices containing a sample office memorandum, sample trial brief, and sample appellate brief

string citations in legal writing: Colorado Lawyer , 2000

string citations in legal writing: *Concise Guide to Legal Research and Writing* ,

string citations in legal writing: Legal Writing--getting it Right and Getting it Written Mary Barnard Ray, Jill J. Ramsfield, 2005 Designed as a desktop reference for legal writers, the fourth

edition of this book adds new entries and updates current entries, provides reference material and guidance on improving one's legal writing, includes a large index with cross-references under each entry, and addresses common questions legal writers have. Covering issues small and large, it offers quick, reliable answers.--Publisher's website.

Related to string citations in legal writing

What does ${} (dollar sign and curly braces) mean in a string in What does $ {} (dollar sign and curly braces) mean in a string in JavaScript? Asked 9 years, 6 months ago Modified 1 year, 9 months ago Viewed 421k times

How do I compare strings in Java? - Stack Overflow String Literals: Moreover, a string literal always refers to the same instance of class String. This is because string literals - or, more generally, strings that are the values of

c# - What's does the dollar sign ($"string") do? - Stack Overflow C# string interpolation is a method of concatenating,formatting and manipulating strings. This feature was introduced in C# 6.0. Using string interpolation, we can use objects and

How do I append one string to another in Python? For handling multiple strings in a list, see How to concatenate (join) items in a list to a single string. See How do I put a variable's value inside a string (interpolate it into the

c# - Case insensitive 'Contains (string)' - Stack Overflow To test if the string paragraph contains the string word (thanks @QuarterMeister) culture.CompareInfo.IndexOf(paragraph, word, CompareOptions.IgnoreCase) >= 0 Where

How can you encode/decode a string to Base64 in JavaScript? btoa() accepts a "string" where each character represents an 8-bit byte – if you pass a string containing characters that can't be represented in 8 bits, it will probably break. This isn't a

c# - What does $ mean before a string? - Stack Overflow You can use an interpolated string anywhere you can use a string literal. When running your program would execute the code with the interpolated string literal, the code computes a new

Search text in stored procedure in SQL Server - Stack Overflow Protip you need to be using the database you are searching before you run this. Also YES this works for procedures longer than 4000 characters. I even created a stored

Differences between C++ string == and compare ()? One thing that is not covered here is that it depends if we compare string to c string, c string to string or string to string. A major difference is that for comparing two strings size equality is

c# - Options for initializing a string array - Stack Overflow Options for initializing a string array [duplicate] Asked 16 years ago Modified 12 years ago Viewed 243k times

each character represents an 8-bit byte – if you pass a string containing characters that can't be represented in 8 bits, it will probably break. This isn't a

c# - What does $ mean before a string? - Stack Overflow You can use an interpolated string anywhere you can use a string literal. When running your program would execute the code with the interpolated string literal, the code computes a new

Search text in stored procedure in SQL Server - Stack Overflow Protip you need to be using the database you are searching before you run this. Also YES this works for procedures longer than 4000 characters. I even created a stored

Differences between C++ string == and compare ()? One thing that is not covered here is that it depends if we compare string to c string, c string to string or string to string. A major difference is that for comparing two strings size equality is

c# - Options for initializing a string array - Stack Overflow Options for initializing a string array [duplicate] Asked 16 years ago Modified 12 years ago Viewed 243k times

Back to Home: https://old.rga.ca