

behavioralism in political science

Behavioralism in Political Science: Understanding the Human Element in Politics

behavioralism in political science represents a transformative approach that reshaped how scholars study political phenomena. Moving away from traditional normative theories and institutional analyses, behavioralism focuses on the empirical study of individual and group behaviors within the political sphere. This shift brought a more scientific, data-driven perspective to political science, emphasizing observable actions and patterns rather than abstract ideals or purely legalistic frameworks.

By exploring how people actually behave in political contexts—whether as voters, activists, politicians, or citizens—behavioralism has helped deepen our understanding of political dynamics, decision-making, and social influences. In this article, we'll dive into the origins, core principles, methodologies, and lasting impact of behavioralism in political science, while highlighting related concepts such as political psychology, empirical research, and voter behavior.

The Origins and Rise of Behavioralism in Political Science

Political science before behavioralism was largely focused on formal institutions like constitutions, legal systems, and political philosophy. Scholars often concentrated on how governments *should* operate, emphasizing normative questions over empirical evidence. However, by the mid-20th century, a growing dissatisfaction with this approach sparked a search for more scientific and objective ways to study politics.

The behavioral revolution emerged prominently in the 1950s and 1960s, inspired by developments in psychology, sociology, and economics. Political scientists began to apply quantitative methods, surveys, and statistical analysis to understand political behavior. This era saw the rise of key figures such as David Easton, Gabriel Almond, and Robert Dahl, who advocated for a shift toward studying political behavior systematically rather than relying solely on historical or legalistic analysis.

Key Characteristics of Behavioralism

Behavioralism in political science is marked by several distinctive features:

- **Empiricism:** The focus is on data collection and observation of actual political behavior, rather than abstract theorizing.

- **Scientific Method:** Behavioralists employ hypotheses testing, surveys, experiments, and statistical tools to analyze political phenomena.
- **Interdisciplinary Influence:** Insights from psychology, sociology, and economics are integrated to better understand political actions.
- **Focus on Individuals and Groups:** Rather than just institutions, behavioralism studies how voters, leaders, interest groups, and other actors behave.
- **Value-Neutrality:** The approach strives to be objective, avoiding prescriptions about what should be done politically.

This combination made political science more rigorous and predictive, enabling scholars to identify patterns like voting trends, party identification, and public opinion shifts.

Core Concepts and Theories within Behavioralism

Understanding behavioralism also involves exploring its foundational concepts and how they apply to political studies.

Political Behavior and Decision-Making

At its heart, behavioralism is interested in why and how people participate in politics. This includes voting behavior, political attitudes, participation in protests, and decision-making by political elites. By examining psychological factors—such as motivation, perception, and group identity—behavioralists seek to uncover what drives political choices.

For example, the **Michigan Model** of voting behavior, developed during the behavioral revolution, highlights the role of party identification, candidate evaluation, and issue orientation in shaping voter decisions. This model underscores that political behavior is not random but influenced by long-term psychological attachments and short-term factors.

Political Culture and Socialization

Another important area is the study of political culture, which refers to the shared values, beliefs, and norms within a society that shape political behavior. Behavioralism helped popularize the idea that understanding political culture is crucial for grasping why citizens engage with politics in certain ways.

Political socialization—the process by which individuals acquire political attitudes—also became a key focus. Researchers studied how family, education, media, and social networks influence political development from childhood through adulthood.

Systems Theory in Behavioralism

David Easton's systems theory offers a framework for analyzing political systems as dynamic and interactive. According to Easton, political systems process inputs (like demands and supports from society) and produce outputs (such as policies and decisions). Behaviorists used this model to link individual behaviors to broader systemic functions, illustrating how public opinion, interest groups, and institutions interconnect.

Methodologies Employed by Behavioral Political Scientists

One of the hallmarks of behavioralism is its methodological rigor. This scientific bent introduced new tools and techniques for studying politics more precisely.

Quantitative Research and Surveys

Surveys became a foundational method, allowing researchers to gather large-scale data on voter preferences, political attitudes, and demographic variables. Public opinion polling, for instance, became integral to behavioral political science, providing insights into electoral dynamics and policy support.

Statistical Analysis and Modeling

With the influx of data, statistical methods such as regression analysis, factor analysis, and cluster analysis helped identify relationships between variables. These techniques enabled scholars to test hypotheses about cause and effect in political behavior, making the discipline more predictive and evidence-based.

Experimental Approaches

Behavioralists also turned to experiments to isolate variables influencing political behavior. For example, controlled experiments might test how different campaign messages affect voter preferences or how social identity impacts group behavior.

Comparative Studies

Behavioralism encouraged cross-national and comparative research to detect universal patterns in political behavior. By examining diverse political contexts, scholars could identify which behaviors were culturally specific and which were more generalizable.

The Impact and Legacy of Behavioralism in Political Science

The behavioral revolution left a profound imprint on political science, shaping how politics is studied and understood even today.

Advancing Political Science as a Social Science

Behavioralism helped establish political science firmly within the social sciences, emphasizing empirical research and methodological rigor. This allowed political science to borrow models and methods from psychology and sociology, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration.

Enriching Understanding of Voter Behavior and Public Opinion

Insights from behavioralism deepened knowledge about electoral politics, including the factors that motivate citizens to vote or abstain, the impact of campaigns, and the role of media. Political campaigns today often rely on behavioral research to target messages effectively.

Critiques and Evolution

Despite its contributions, behavioralism faced criticism for sometimes neglecting power structures, institutions, and normative questions. Critics argued that focusing too narrowly on individual behavior overlooked important systemic and historical factors.

In response, political science evolved toward integrating behavioral approaches with institutional and structural analyses, giving rise to new paradigms such as rational choice theory and constructivism. Nonetheless, behavioralism remains foundational, especially in areas like political psychology and electoral studies.

Behavioralism Today: Contemporary Applications and Trends

While the original wave of behavioralism peaked decades ago, its principles continue to influence contemporary political science research.

Political Psychology and Neuroscience

Modern scholars build on behavioralism by incorporating cognitive psychology and neuroscience to understand how emotions, biases, and brain processes shape political behavior. This intersection has opened new frontiers in studying decision-making and ideology.

Big Data and Computational Methods

The rise of big data analytics and machine learning has expanded behavioral approaches. Researchers now analyze vast datasets from social media, voting records, and online behavior to uncover patterns and predict political outcomes with unprecedented precision.

Behavioral Insights in Policy and Governance

Governments and organizations apply behavioral science principles to design better policies and interventions. Known as "nudge theory," these strategies use subtle behavioral cues to influence public behavior, such as increasing voter turnout or promoting public health.

Understanding Behavioralism's Role in Political Science Education

For students and enthusiasts of political science, grasping behavioralism is essential. It encourages questioning assumptions and emphasizes evidence-based analysis. Learning about behavioralism fosters critical thinking about how politics operates on a human level, beyond institutions and laws.

To engage deeply with behavioralism, one might:

- Explore classic studies like *The American Voter*.
- Analyze current political polling and survey data.
- Study the psychological theories underpinning political attitudes.
- Consider how cultural and social factors shape political behavior.

By doing so, learners can appreciate the complexity and diversity of political life through the behavioral lens.

Behavioralism in political science opened the door to a more nuanced, scientific investigation of politics by focusing on human behavior. Its legacy endures in the rich tapestry of methods, theories, and insights that continue to shape how we understand the political world around us. Whether studying elections, political participation, or public opinion, behavioral perspectives provide vital tools to decode the motivations and actions that drive political systems.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is behavioralism in political science?

Behavioralism is an approach in political science that emphasizes the empirical study of individual and group behavior using scientific methods, focusing on observable and quantifiable data rather than normative or institutional analysis.

How did behavioralism change the study of political science?

Behavioralism shifted political science from a focus on formal institutions and legalistic approaches to an emphasis on empirical research, data collection, and analysis of political behavior, such as voting patterns, political participation, and public opinion.

What are the key characteristics of behavioralism?

Key characteristics of behavioralism include reliance on empirical data, use of quantitative methods, focus on individual and group behavior, interdisciplinary approaches, and an emphasis on hypothesis testing and scientific rigor.

Who are some prominent scholars associated with behavioralism?

Prominent behavioralist scholars include David Easton, Gabriel Almond, Charles Merriam, and Robert Dahl, who contributed to the development of empirical methods and the study of political behavior.

What are some criticisms of behavioralism in political science?

Critics argue that behavioralism can be overly focused on quantitative data, neglecting the importance of political institutions, historical context, and normative issues. It may also oversimplify complex political phenomena by reducing them to measurable behaviors.

Is behavioralism still relevant in contemporary political science?

Yes, behavioralism remains relevant as it laid the foundation for modern empirical research and quantitative analysis in political science, though it has evolved to incorporate more diverse methods and address its earlier limitations.

Additional Resources

Behavioralism in Political Science: Transforming the Study of Politics Through Empirical Inquiry

behavioralism in political science marks a pivotal shift in the way scholars understand and analyze political phenomena. Emerging prominently during the mid-20th century, behavioralism redefined political science by emphasizing the systematic study of political behavior through empirical data rather than normative theories or purely institutional analysis. This approach prioritizes observable, quantifiable phenomena involving individuals and groups within political systems, paving the way for a more scientific and objective understanding of politics.

The Origins and Evolution of Behavioralism in Political Science

Behavioralism arose as a response to the limitations of traditional political science, which largely focused on constitutional structures, legal frameworks, and political philosophy. Early political analysis often centered on formal institutions such as legislatures, courts, and executives, with less attention given to how individuals actually behave within these structures. The behavioral revolution, which gained momentum in the 1950s and 1960s, sought to correct this imbalance by integrating psychological, sociological, and statistical methods into political research.

The foundational premise of behavioralism is that political science should be an empirical discipline, grounded in observable facts rather than speculative theories. This meant shifting the focus onto voting patterns, political participation, public opinion, political attitudes, and leadership behaviors.

The approach was heavily influenced by developments in psychology and the natural sciences, especially the adoption of survey research, experiments, and quantitative analysis.

Key Features of Behavioralism

Behavioralism is characterized by several distinctive features that differentiate it from earlier approaches:

- **Empiricism:** Reliance on data collection and statistical analysis to identify patterns in political behavior.
- **Focus on Individuals and Groups:** Examines the actions, motivations, and attitudes of political actors rather than abstract institutions alone.
- **Interdisciplinary Methods:** Incorporates insights from psychology, economics, and sociology to understand political dynamics.
- **Use of Quantitative Techniques:** Employs surveys, polls, and experimental methods to generate replicable results.
- **Value-Neutrality:** Aims to minimize normative judgments, emphasizing objective analysis over ideological perspectives.

Impact on Political Science Research and Theory

The rise of behavioralism transformed political science into a more rigorous and scientific discipline. By focusing on measurable behavior, researchers were able to develop new theories grounded in empirical evidence. For example, studies of voter behavior enhanced understanding of electoral dynamics, revealing factors such as socioeconomic status, political socialization, and media influence on voting decisions.

Behavioralism also contributed to the development of political psychology, a subfield that explores the psychological foundations of political attitudes and behavior. Concepts like political efficacy, authoritarianism, and cognitive biases became central to explaining how citizens interact with political systems.

Moreover, behavioralism challenged existing paradigms by exposing the complexity and variability of political behavior. It demonstrated that political actors often behave in ways that deviate from the rational-actor model dominant in classical political theory. This insight led to a more nuanced appreciation of irrationality, emotion, and social context in

politics.

Comparisons with Other Approaches

Unlike traditional institutionalism, which prioritizes formal political structures, behavioralism centers on the actual conduct of individuals and groups. This shift allowed for a more dynamic analysis of politics as a lived experience rather than a static set of rules and offices.

At the same time, behavioralism contrasts with post-behavioralism, a later critique that emerged in the late 1960s and 1970s. Post-behavioralists argued that purely empirical approaches neglected normative questions about justice, power, and human values. While behavioralism seeks objectivity, post-behavioralism emphasized the importance of political commitment and ethical considerations in research.

Applications and Methodologies in Behavioral Political Science

Behavioralism's methodological innovations remain influential in contemporary political science research. Its emphasis on empirical data collection and analysis has led to diverse applications:

Survey Research and Public Opinion Analysis

One of the most prominent tools of behavioralism is the survey. Polling techniques have been refined to measure public opinion on a wide range of political issues. These surveys provide invaluable data for understanding trends in political attitudes, policy preferences, and electoral behavior. For instance, longitudinal studies tracking voter behavior over decades have illuminated shifts in party identification and ideological alignment.

Experimental Methods

Behavioralism also embraces controlled experiments, often used to test hypotheses about political decision-making. Experiments may investigate how framing effects influence voter choices or how group dynamics affect political cooperation. This approach enables scholars to isolate causal relationships that are difficult to discern through observational studies alone.

Statistical Modeling and Quantitative Analysis

Advanced statistical methods, including regression analysis and multivariate modeling, allow researchers to analyze complex interactions between variables affecting political behavior. These techniques help uncover the relative importance of factors such as education, income, ethnicity, and media exposure in shaping political outcomes.

Strengths and Criticisms of Behavioralism

The behavioralist approach boasts several strengths that have cemented its role in political science:

- **Scientific Rigor:** Behavioralism's empirical focus contributes to the credibility and replicability of political research.
- **Rich Data Sets:** The collection of extensive behavioral data enables detailed analysis of political phenomena.
- **Interdisciplinary Insights:** Borrowing methods from other social sciences enriches understanding of political behavior.

However, behavioralism is not without its critics. Key concerns include:

- **Reductionism:** Critics argue that behavioralism reduces complex political realities to simplistic behavioral patterns, overlooking deeper structural and ideological factors.
- **Neglect of Normative Questions:** By focusing on what is rather than what ought to be, behavioralism may sideline important ethical and philosophical debates.
- **Data Limitations:** Empirical data can be subject to biases, measurement errors, or fail to capture the full richness of political life.

These critiques have spurred ongoing debates within political science about the proper balance between empirical analysis and normative inquiry.

Behavioralism's Legacy and Contemporary Relevance

Despite challenges, behavioralism's impact endures. Its methodologies

underpin much of today's political research, including election forecasting, public opinion polling, and policy analysis. The behavioral approach's insistence on data-driven conclusions continues to shape political science curricula and research agendas worldwide.

Behavioralism has also adapted over time, integrating newer tools such as big data analytics and computational social science techniques. These advancements enable scholars to analyze political behavior at unprecedented scales, from social media interactions to large-scale voter databases.

In sum, behavioralism in political science remains a foundational approach that revolutionized the study of politics by foregrounding the empirical examination of human behavior. Its legacy is visible in the discipline's ongoing efforts to understand the complexities of political life through rigorous, methodical inquiry.

Behavioralism In Political Science

Find other PDF articles:

<https://old.rga.ca/archive-th-094/Book?dataid=xMK84-6794&title=articles-of-confederation-vs-the-u-s-constitution.pdf>

behavioralism in political science: *Behavioralism in Political Science* Eulau, Heinz,
behavioralism in political science: *Behavioralism in Political Science* Richard J. Gelles,
2017-09-08 Changes in the thinking of science are usually accompanied by lively intellectual conflicts between opposing or divergent points of view. The clash of ideas is a major ingredient in the stimulation of the life of the mind in human culture. Such arguments and counter-arguments, of proofs and disproofs, permit changes in the arts and sciences to take place. Political science is not exempt from these conflicts. Since the middle of the twentieth century, the study of politics has been rocked by disagreements over its scope, theories, and methods. These disagreements were somewhat less frequent than in most sciences, natural or behavioral, but they have been at times bitter and persuasive. The subject matter of political science politics and all that is involved in politics has a halo effect. The stakes of politics make people fight and sometimes die for what they claim as their due. Political scientists seem to confuse academic with political stakes, behaving as if the victories and defeats on the battleground of the intellect resemble those on the battleground of political life. Three issues seem critical to political science at the time this volume first appeared in the 1960s: First, disagreement over the nature of the knowledge of political things is a science of politics possible, or is the study of politics a matter of philosophy? Second, controversy over the place of values in the study of politics a controversy that makes for a great deal of confusion. Third, disagreements over the basic units of analysis in the study of politics should the political scientist study individual and collective behavior, or limit the work to the study of institutions and large-scale processes? This collection brings together the most persuasive writings on these topics in the mid-1960s.

behavioralism in political science: *The Limits of Behavioralism in Political Science* James Clyde Charlesworth, American Academy of Political and Social Science, 1962

behavioralism in political science: The Limits of Behavioralism in Political Science

James Clyde Charlesworth, 1962

behavioralism in political science: Behavioralism in Political Science Heinz Eulau, 1971

behavioralism in political science: The limits of behavioralism in political science: a symposium American Academy of Political and Social Science, 1962

behavioralism in political science: The Limits of Behavioralism in Political Science

American Academy of Political and Social Science (Philadelphia), 1968

behavioralism in political science: The Limits of Behavioralism in Political Science: a Symposium Held at Philadelphia, October, 1962, Sponsored by the American Academy of Political and Social Science Philadelphia Symposium on the limits of behavioralism in political science (1962. c), 1972

behavioralism in political science: The Limits of Behavioralism in Political Science

James Clyde Charlesworth, 1962

behavioralism in political science: *Behaviouralism and Political Theory* Rajiva Ranjan Sarana, 2001 Behaviouralism has been one of the major influences on the discipline of political science during the 20th century. This study attempts to put in perspective the efforts of the behaviouralists, with David Easton and Lucian Pye being the two representative political scientists, whose work on 'political behaviour' and 'political culture' tentatively form the basis for the appraisal.

behavioralism in political science: The Development of [American] Political Science: from Burgess to Behavioralism Albert Somit, 1967

behavioralism in political science: *Apolitical Politics* Charles Allan McCoy, 1968

behavioralism in political science: *21st Century Political Science: A Reference Handbook* John T Ishiyama, Marijke Breuning, 2011 Offering full coverage of major subthemes and subfields within political science this reference handbook includes entries on topics from theory and methodology to international relations and institutions.

behavioralism in political science: *Unity in Diversity* Nicolas A. Nyiri, Rod Preece, 2006-01-01 The Interdisciplinary Research Seminar, developed by Professor Nicolas A. Nyiri of the Political Science Department, was initiated three years ago. The purpose has been to encourage and foster interdisciplinary research papers and colloquia which are now being published under the editorship of Professor N.A. Nyiri and Dr. Rod Preece. Contributors have been drawn from several centres and it is planned to expand the sources of papers in the future. The work that has been accomplished has served to bring scholars from diverse fields together and to encourage others to share in the exploration and expansion of critical thinking in a number of areas. It is expected that the publication of the first volume will open the way to an ever-widening interest in this core area of a university's life: critical thinking and dissemination of the knowledge gained. - From the foreword by Dr. Neale Tayler, Vice-President Academic, Wilfrid Laurier University

behavioralism in political science: *Theory and Politics / Theorie und Politik* K. von Beyme, 2012-12-06 Die Soziologie wissenschaftlichen Ruhms ist weitgehend unerforscht. Ein Versuch, ihn mit behavioristischen Methoden für die Politikwissenschaft zu analysieren, den Somit und Tanenhaus unternahmen, zählt zu den Faktoren, die wissenschaftlichen Ruhm bedingen: originelle Ideen, Beiträge zur Systematisierung, Anregung wissenschaftlicher Forschung, Publikation vielgebrauchter Lehrbücher und organisatorische Fähigkeiten. Carl Joachim Friedrich wurde bei dieser Analyse - obwohl ihr gelegentlich ein behavioristisches Bias nachgesagt wurde - von einem grossen Prozentsatz der interviewten Politikwissenschaftler sehr häufig zu den bedeutendsten Gelehrten seines Faches gezählt. Einmalig war die Dauer der wissenschaftlichen Hochschätzung, die er in einer Zeit einer immer kurzlebiger werdenden wissenschaftlichen Reputation genoss. Friedrich war neben Lasswell einer der wenigen, die sowohl vor 1945 als auch nach 1945 unter den 15 bedeutendsten 1 Politikwissenschaftlern genannt wurden. Es wird schwer sein, unter den fünf Voraussetzungen wissenschaftlicher Reputation einen einzelnen Grund für die Bedeutung C.J. Friedrichs herauszustellen. Neue Ideen entwickelte Friedrich - so umstritten manche (vor allem in der Totalitarismusforschung) gewesen sein mögen - besonders in der Erforschung des Konstitutionalismus, des Federalismus und des Totalitarismus. Seine bekanntesten Beiträge zur

Systematisierung der Forschungsergebnisse sind die Werke *Constitutional Government and Democracy* (1937 ff.) und *Man and His Government* (1963).

behavioralism in political science: Library of Congress Subject Headings Library of Congress, Library of Congress. Subject Cataloging Division, Library of Congress. Office for Subject Cataloging Policy, 2013

behavioralism in political science: Leo Strauss, Max Weber, and the Scientific Study of Politics Nasser Behnegar, 2021-08-27 Can politics be studied scientifically, and if so, how? Assuming it is impossible to justify values by human reason alone, social science has come to consider an unreflective relativism the only viable basis, not only for its own operations, but for liberal societies more generally. Although the experience of the sixties has made social scientists more sensitive to the importance of values, it has not led to a fundamental reexamination of value relativism, which remains the basis of contemporary social science. Almost three decades after Leo Strauss's death, Nasser Behnegar offers the first sustained exposition of what Strauss was best known for: his radical critique of contemporary social science, and particularly of political science. Behnegar's impressive book argues that Strauss was not against the scientific study of politics, but he did reject the idea that it could be built upon political science's unexamined assumption of the distinction between facts and values. Max Weber was, for Strauss, the most profound exponent of values relativism in social science, and Behnegar's explication artfully illuminates Strauss's critique of Weber's belief in the ultimate insolubility of all value conflicts. Strauss's polemic against contemporary political science was meant to make clear the contradiction between its claim of value-free premises and its commitment to democratic principles. As Behnegar ultimately shows, values—the ethical component lacking in a contemporary social science—are essential to Strauss's project of constructing a genuinely scientific study of politics.

behavioralism in political science: Anthropology and the Behavioral and Health Sciences Otto von Mering, Leonard Kasdan, 2010-11-23 This book acts as a catalyst for anthropology to foster research ties to its neighboring disciplines in the behavioral and health sciences. It is an introspective and circumspective appraisal of the relevance of anthropology to these related disciplines and professions and assesses the usefulness of reciprocal borrowing of ideas and investigative tools among them. Essays by scholars from several disciplines are included, along with commentaries on each essay by noted social scientists. Contributors: Bernard S. Cohn; Albert Damon; Jules Henry; Donald L. Hochstrasser; Solon T. Kimball; Bertram S. Kraus; Wilton M. Krogman; Richard F. Salisbury; Harvey B. Sarles; Richard G. Snyder; Jesse W. Tapp, Jr.; Otto von Mering; and Murray L. Wax.

behavioralism in political science: Politics and the Architecture of Choice Bryan D. Jones, 2001-05 *Politics and the Architecture of Choice* draws on work in political science, economics, cognitive science, and psychology to offer an innovative theory of how people and organizations adapt to change and why these adaptations don't always work. Our decision-making capabilities, Jones argues, are both rational and adaptive. But because our rationality is bounded and our adaptability limited, our actions are not based simply on objective information from our environments. Instead, we overemphasize some factors and neglect others, and our inherited limitations—such as short-term memory capacity—all act to affect our judgment. Jones shows how we compensate for and replicate these limitations in groups by linking the behavioral foundations of human nature to the operation of large-scale organizations in modern society. Situating his argument within the current debate over the rational choice model of human behavior, Jones argues that we should begin with rationality as a standard and then study the uniquely human ways in which we deviate from it.

behavioralism in political science: The Oxford Handbook of the Theory of International Law Anne Orford, Florian Hoffmann, Martin Clark, 2016 *Histories -- Approaches -- Regimes and doctrines -- Debates*

Related to behavioralism in political science

Scratch - Imagine, Program, Share Scratch is a free programming language and online community where you can create your own interactive stories, games, and animations

Scratch Foundation Whether they're animating a story, designing a game, or exploring a new idea, Scratch is a playful space for kids to express themselves, think critically, and collaborate with others

Scratch (programming language) - Wikipedia Scratch is a high-level, block-based visual programming language and website aimed primarily at children as an educational tool, with a target audience of ages 8 to 16. [9][10] Users can create

Scratch 3 - Free download and install on Windows | Microsoft Store With Scratch, you can program your own interactive stories, games, and animations. Scratch helps young people learn to think creatively, reason systematically, and work collaboratively —

Scratch - Apps on Google Play Scratch is used by millions of kids around the world both in and outside of school. With Scratch, you can code your own interactive stories, games, and animations, then share

Scratch Basics - A Beginners Guide to Scratch - YouTube Learn how to get started with Scratch in this beginners guide. Covering both the online & offline editors, how to register for an account, an overview of the Scratch Editor & workspace, and a

Scratch - Explore Scratch is a free programming language and online community where you can create your own interactive stories, games, and animations

GitHub - ScratchEverywhere/ScratchEverywhere: Custom Scratch Custom Scratch Runtime made in C++! Contribute to ScratchEverywhere/ScratchEverywhere development by creating an account on GitHub

Scratch Foundation From the Scratch sound library, recording your own sound, uploading a sound, or using music blocks, experiment with options to make your sound project interactive using inputs like

Scratch - Search Scratch is a free programming language and online community where you can create your own interactive stories, games, and animations

Breaking News in Sharon pa - Trending Hub News Sharon, Pennsylvania, a town nestled in the western part of the state, is buzzing with a fervor that's rarely seen. Today, the quiet streets and quaint neighborhoods have been

73-year-old man hit, killed by vehicle in Mercer County - WPXI SHARON, Pa. — A man is dead after being hit by a vehicle in Mercer County. According to City of Sharon Police Chief Edward Stabile, the crash happened around 9:30 p.m. at the intersection

Digital Edition -- The Herald, Sharon, Pa. -- The leading The Herald, Sharon, Pa. -- The digital edition of the leading news source for the Shenango Valley and Mercer County area. We cover your world

Sharon car wreck injures woman | News | SHARON - A woman was injured when she lost control of her car in Sharon Monday afternoon narrowly missing a house, Ed Stabile, Sharon police chief, said

Herald Newspaper from Sharon, Pennsylvania (PA) | Paperboy Click for today's Herald newspaper from Sharon, Pennsylvania. Easy access to obituaries, local news, front pages and more
Sharon Regional Medical Center in Pennsylvania closes, no Sharon City Manager Bob Fiscus submitted this statement in response to the news: Unfortunately as of this moment, there is no finalized deal to keep Sharon Regional Medical

Breaking: Sharon PA Shooting Today - News Developing Reports indicate a violent incident involving gunfire transpired in Sharon, Pennsylvania earlier today. Initial information suggests law enforcement is actively