# how to lie with maps

How to Lie with Maps: Understanding the Art and Science of Map Manipulation

**how to lie with maps** might sound like the premise of a spy novel or a conspiracy thriller, but in reality, it’s a fascinating subject that blends cartography, psychology, and sometimes politics. Maps, often considered objective tools for navigation and information, can be surprisingly deceptive depending on how they’re designed, what data they include or omit, and the way visuals are presented. Exploring the subtle and not-so-subtle ways maps can mislead us reveals how powerful—and occasionally dangerous—this medium can be.

## The Power Behind Maps

Maps are more than just representations of geography; they shape our understanding of the world. Whether it’s a political map showing country boundaries, a climate map illustrating temperature variations, or a demographic map highlighting population density, maps influence decisions and beliefs. When crafted carefully, they guide travelers, planners, scientists, and even voters. But their power also means they can be manipulated to serve specific agendas.

### Why Maps Can Be Misleading

Unlike photographs, maps are abstractions. They rely on symbols, colors, and scales to compress complex information into a simple image. This inherent simplification opens the door to distortions. For example, the choice of projection—a method of displaying the curved surface of the Earth on a flat plane—can drastically alter the perceived size and shape of landmasses. The infamous Mercator projection inflates areas near the poles, making Greenland look as large as Africa, though Africa is actually about 14 times bigger.

## Common Techniques in How to Lie with Maps

If you’re curious about how to lie with maps, you’ll find the methods are often subtle, relying on visual tricks that exploit human perception. Let’s break down some of the most common tactics:

### 1. Manipulating Map Projections

As touched on earlier, map projections are critical in how geographic information is presented. Choosing a projection isn’t just a technical decision; it can influence political or cultural narratives.

- **Mercator Projection:** Popular for navigation but distorts size at high latitudes.
- **Peters Projection:** Emphasizes area accuracy but distorts shapes, often used to highlight developing countries.
- **Conic or Azimuthal Projections:** Useful for regional maps but can exaggerate distances or angles.

By selecting a projection that distorts size or distance, mapmakers can exaggerate the importance or power of certain regions while minimizing others, subtly influencing the viewer’s perception.

## 2. Selective Omissions and Additions

A map doesn’t need to show everything. In fact, what’s left out can be just as telling as what’s included. Omitting certain landmarks, borders, or place names can hide contentious areas or downplay conflict zones.

For instance, some political maps avoid labeling disputed territories, effectively implying ownership or control. Similarly, thematic maps might exclude data points that don’t fit the intended narrative, such as hiding areas of poverty on economic maps.

## 3. Color and Symbol Manipulation

Colors carry psychological weight. Bright reds often signal danger or urgency, while greens suggest safety or prosperity. By manipulating color scales or choosing contrasting hues, a mapmaker can exaggerate differences.

For example, a health map might use dark red to indicate high disease prevalence, but by adjusting thresholds, a relatively moderate risk area could appear alarming. Symbols too can mislead; oversized icons can imply greater significance than warranted.

## 4. Scale and Zoom Tactics

Adjusting the scale or zoom level can reframe the viewer’s understanding. Zooming in on a small conflict area to make it look widespread, or zooming

out to minimize the appearance of environmental damage, are common techniques.

### 5. Data Aggregation and Classification

How data is grouped or classified on a map can tell very different stories. For example, categorizing income levels in broad bands may mask inequality, while using narrow bands can exaggerate differences. The choice of intervals in choropleth maps (color-shaded maps) affects visual impact.

## Historical Examples of Map Manipulation

The history of cartography is dotted with maps crafted to serve political or ideological ends. Understanding these helps us appreciate the responsibility that comes with mapmaking.

### The Mercator Projection and Colonialism

Developed in the 16th century, the Mercator projection became the standard for navigation. However, its distortion of landmass sizes conveniently inflated Europe and North America’s apparent dominance, reinforcing colonial worldviews. Critics argue that this visual bias contributed to a Eurocentric understanding of global geography.

### Cold War Propaganda Maps

During the Cold War, maps were weaponized in propaganda. Each side produced maps emphasizing the other’s military threat or economic weakness. Some Soviet maps downplayed Western prosperity, while American maps highlighted communist expansion.

### Modern Political Redistricting and Gerrymandering

At a more local level, political maps of voting districts are often manipulated to favor incumbents or parties, a practice known as gerrymandering. By redrawing boundaries to include or exclude certain populations, politicians can ‘lie with maps’ to secure electoral advantages.

# How to Spot Deceptive Maps

Being savvy about map deception helps you become a more informed consumer of information. Here are some tips for critically analyzing maps:

## Check the Projection

Look for information on the map’s projection. If none is provided, be cautious about size and distance claims. Compare with other projections to get a fuller picture.

## Read the Legend Carefully

The legend explains colors, symbols, and classifications. Watch for vague or overly broad categories that might hide important details.

## Consider the Source

Who made the map? What might their interests be? Official government maps, academic sources, and reputable organizations tend to be more reliable than anonymous or politically motivated creators.

## Look for Omissions

If a map seems to gloss over contentious or relevant areas, seek additional sources. Absence of data can be a red flag.

## Compare Multiple Maps

No single map tells the whole story. Comparing maps from different sources or with different focuses can reveal inconsistencies or biases.

# The Ethical Side of Mapmaking

While knowing how to lie with maps can be a fascinating intellectual exercise, it also raises ethical questions. Cartographers and data visualizers carry responsibility for accuracy, clarity, and fairness. Misleading maps can distort public debate, fuel conflicts, or obscure

important truths.

Increasingly, the field of critical cartography encourages transparency, inclusivity, and reflexivity in mapmaking. This means acknowledging limitations, disclosing data sources, and avoiding manipulative design choices. As consumers, we play a role by demanding and supporting honest cartographic practices.

# Maps as Tools for Truth and Deception

Maps, by their nature, are both mirrors and makers of reality. They reflect what is known but also shape what is believed. Understanding the techniques embedded in how to lie with maps empowers us to see beyond surface impressions and appreciate the complexities beneath.

In everyday life, from news reports to educational materials, maps influence perceptions of conflict, climate change, migration, and more. Cultivating map literacy—knowing how maps can mislead—helps us navigate information with greater awareness and skepticism.

Ultimately, whether for navigation, storytelling, or advocacy, maps remain indispensable. The key lies in balancing their power with critical thought, ensuring they illuminate rather than obscure the truth.

# Frequently Asked Questions

## What is the main idea behind 'How to Lie with Maps'?

The main idea of 'How to Lie with Maps' is to reveal how maps can be manipulated through scale, projection, and design choices to mislead or influence the viewer's perception of geographic information.

## How do map projections contribute to misleading interpretations?

Map projections can distort size, shape, distance, and direction, causing some regions to appear larger or smaller than they actually are, which can mislead users about the relative importance or scale of different areas.

## What are common techniques used to 'lie' with maps?

Common techniques include manipulating map scale, omitting or exaggerating features, using misleading symbols or colors, altering boundaries, and choosing specific projections that distort geographic reality.

## Why is it important to critically analyze maps?

Critically analyzing maps is important because maps are not neutral; they reflect the cartographer's choices and biases, and understanding these helps users avoid being misled by inaccurate or biased representations.

## How can one identify if a map is potentially misleading?

One can identify a potentially misleading map by checking the scale, projection type, legend, data sources, and looking for inconsistencies or exaggerations in how information is presented.

## Can modern digital maps also be used to mislead?

Yes, modern digital maps can also mislead through selective data presentation, biased algorithms, altered visualizations, or by emphasizing certain information while downplaying others, just like traditional maps.

# Additional Resources

How to Lie with Maps: Unveiling the Art and Science Behind Cartographic Deception

**how to lie with maps** is a phrase that captures the subtle yet powerful ways in which cartography can be manipulated to mislead viewers. Maps, often considered objective representations of geographical realities, can be distorted to shape perceptions, influence decisions, or advance particular agendas. Understanding the techniques behind these manipulations is essential for professionals, academics, and casual map users alike, especially in an era where data visualization and geographic information systems (GIS) play pivotal roles in public discourse.

This article delves into the nuanced tactics employed to distort maps, explores the motivations behind such alterations, and examines the implications of deceptive cartography in politics, business, and social narratives. By analyzing how to lie with maps, readers will gain critical insight into the power of visual data and the importance of scrutinizing the sources and methods behind every map they encounter.

# Understanding Cartographic Manipulation: How to Lie with Maps

Maps are inherently selective. Every cartographer makes conscious choices about what to include, omit, emphasize, or downplay. These choices can be neutral, but when wielded to mislead, they become tools for lying. The phrase

“how to lie with maps” encapsulates methods ranging from subtle distortions to blatant misrepresentations. Unlike outright falsification, these lies often exploit the viewer’s trust in visual data and the conventions of mapping.

At the core of cartographic deception lies the manipulation of spatial data representation—whether through scale, projection, color, or symbolization. For example, altering the scale of certain regions can exaggerate or minimize their perceived importance. Similarly, the choice of map projection can distort distances, shapes, or areas, leading to misinterpretations about size or proximity.

## Common Techniques in Cartographic Deception

Several well-documented approaches illustrate how to lie with maps effectively:

- **Projection Distortion:** Different map projections can significantly alter the size and shape of landmasses. The Mercator projection, widely used but notorious for exaggerating areas near the poles, can make Greenland appear comparable to Africa, despite being 14 times smaller.
- **Selective Omission:** Leaving out certain geographic features or political boundaries can warp the narrative. For instance, excluding contested territories can implicitly endorse a particular political claim.
- **Manipulating Scale and Size:** Changing the scale non-uniformly or using disproportionate symbols for data points can inflate or deflate the importance of locations or phenomena.
- **Color and Symbol Bias:** Color gradients and symbol sizes influence perception. Using alarming colors (reds or blacks) to depict minor issues can exaggerate their severity.
- **Data Aggregation and Generalization:** Grouping data into broad categories can obscure local variations, leading to misleading conclusions about trends or distributions.

Recognizing these techniques is crucial in critically evaluating maps presented in media, academia, or business contexts.

# The Role of Map Projections in Misleading

# Representations

Map projections serve as a foundational element in the process of translating the earth’s three-dimensional surface onto a two-dimensional plane. However, this transformation inherently involves compromise. Understanding the implications of different projections is central to grasping how to lie with maps.

## Mercator vs. Gall-Peters: A Tale of Two Projections

The Mercator projection, developed in the 16th century for maritime navigation, preserves direction but severely distorts area. High-latitude regions such as Europe, North America, and Russia appear much larger than they are in reality, while equatorial regions like Africa and South America are minimized. This distortion can inadvertently propagate Eurocentric biases by visually amplifying Western countries' importance.

Conversely, the Gall-Peters projection attempts to preserve area, offering a more proportionate view of landmasses. However, it distorts shapes, making continents look stretched or compressed, which some viewers find less intuitive or aesthetically pleasing. This projection has been championed in educational and political contexts as a more "honest" depiction of global geography.

These contrasting projections underscore how the choice of map base can subtly influence geopolitical perceptions, a technique often exploited to shape narratives in media or policy discussions.

## Projection Choice as Propaganda

Governments and organizations sometimes choose projections that reinforce their ideological positions. For example, during the Cold War, Soviet maps often employed projections that centered the USSR, visually emphasizing its dominance. Similarly, maps emphasizing national borders or military installations may use projections that distort neighboring nations’ sizes to evoke threat perceptions.

# Symbolization and Color: Psychological Dimensions of Cartographic Lies

Beyond the geometric aspects, how information is symbolized on a map profoundly affects interpretation. Colors and symbols carry psychological weight, guiding viewer attention and framing understanding.

## Color Manipulation Strategies

Color can be used to exaggerate or downplay phenomena. For instance, using bright red hues to denote crime rates or disease outbreaks, even when levels are moderate, can invoke fear disproportionate to the actual risk. Conversely, muted colors on maps depicting environmental degradation may understate urgency.

Gradients that lack clear breaks or inconsistent legends can confuse readers, while selective use of color saturation can highlight or obscure spatial patterns. The choice between categorical and continuous color schemes also affects the granularity of information conveyed.

## Symbol Size and Shape Distortions

Proportional symbols are common in thematic maps to represent quantities like population or economic output. However, miscalculations in symbol scaling—for example, using radius to represent values instead of area—can lead to exaggerated impressions of differences between regions.

Furthermore, the use of evocative symbols (e.g., skulls for hazardous sites) can emotionally bias viewers, while varying symbol shapes may imply qualitative distinctions that do not exist.

# Data Selection and Aggregation: Crafting a Narrative Through Omission and Emphasis

One of the most insidious ways to lie with maps lies in manipulating the underlying data. Maps are only as truthful as the datasets they represent.

## Selective Data Inclusion

By excluding data points that contradict a desired narrative, mapmakers can create misleading impressions. For example, a health map that omits certain outbreaks or a demographic map that excludes minority populations can skew public understanding.

## Data Aggregation and Generalization

Aggregating data into broad geographic units (such as states or countries) can hide local disparities. This form of generalization may suggest uniformity where significant variation exists. For instance, national average

income maps can mask pockets of poverty, thereby influencing policy debates.

On the other hand, excessive granularity can overwhelm users or create false impressions of precision, so cartographers must balance detail with clarity.

# Implications of Cartographic Lies in Contemporary Contexts

The consequences of lying with maps extend far beyond academic curiosity. In the digital age, maps influence everything from election outcomes to business strategies and emergency responses.

## Political Manipulation and Geopolitical Tensions

Maps have been weaponized to justify territorial claims, support nationalistic rhetoric, or obscure minority rights. For example, maps depicting borders in disputed regions can inflame conflicts when used uncritically in media or education.

## Business and Marketing Applications

Companies use maps to highlight market opportunities or downplay competition. Manipulated sales territory maps or demographic analyses can mislead stakeholders and investors.

## Public Health and Environmental Policy

Inaccurate or biased maps related to disease spread, pollution, or resource distribution can hinder effective responses. Recognizing cartographic manipulation can improve transparency and trust in public communication.

# Detecting and Counteracting Lies in Maps

Given the prevalence and subtlety of cartographic deception, developing literacy around map interpretation is vital.

- **Check the Source:** Reliable maps come from reputable organizations with transparent methodologies.

- **Analyze Projections:** Understanding the projection used helps identify potential distortions.
- **Scrutinize Color and Symbols:** Question the psychological impact and consistency of visual elements.
- **Compare Multiple Maps:** Cross-referencing different maps on the same topic can reveal discrepancies.
- **Consider the Data:** Investigate the origin, date, and completeness of datasets behind the map.

Increasing public awareness about how to lie with maps empowers users to engage critically with geographic information and resist manipulation.

Maps are more than mere illustrations; they shape worldviews and influence decisions. By unveiling the techniques behind cartographic deception, this exploration underscores the importance of vigilance and critical thinking in interpreting the powerful language of maps.

## How To Lie With Maps



**how to lie with maps: How to Lie with Maps** Mark Monmonier, 2018-04-13 An updated edition of the “humorous, informative and perceptive” guide to how maps can lead us astray (Toronto Globe and Mail). An instant classic when first published in 1991, How to Lie with Maps revealed how the choices mapmakers make—consciously or unconsciously—mean that every map inevitably presents only one of many possible stories about the places it depicts. The principles Mark Monmonier outlined back then remain true today, despite significant technological changes in the making and use of maps. The introduction and spread of digital maps and mapping software, however, have added new wrinkles to the ever-evolving landscape of modern mapmaking. Fully updated for the digital age, this new edition of How to Lie with Maps examines the myriad ways that technology offers new opportunities for cartographic mischief, deception, and propaganda. While retaining the same brevity, range, and humor as its predecessors, this third edition includes significant updates throughout as well as new chapters on image maps, prohibitive cartography, and online maps. It also includes an expanded section of color images and an updated list of sources for further reading. Praise for previous editions of How to Lie with Maps “Will leave you much better defended against cheap atlases, shoddy journalism, unscrupulous advertisers, predatory special-interest groups, and others who may use or abuse maps at your expense.” —Christian Science Monitor

**how to lie with maps: How to Lie with Maps** Mark S. Monmonier, 1991 Explains the basic principles of map making, tells how to evaluate maps critically, and looks at Nazi propaganda maps,

real estate developers plans, and environmental impact maps

**how to lie with maps:** *How to Make Maps* Peter Anthamatten, 2020-12-27 The goal of How to Make Maps is to equip readers with the foundational knowledge of concepts they need to conceive, design, and produce maps in a legible, clear, and coherent manner, drawing from both classical and modern theory in cartography. This book is appropriate for graduate and undergraduate students who are beginning a course of study in geospatial sciences or who wish to begin producing their own maps. While the book assumes no a priori knowledge or experience with geospatial software, it may also serve GIS analysts and technicians who wish to explore the principles of cartographic design. The first part of the book explores the key decisions behind every map, with the aim of providing the reader with a solid foundation in fundamental cartography concepts. Chapters 1 through 3 review foundational mapping concepts and some of the decisions that are a part of every map. This is followed by a discussion of the guiding principles of cartographic design in Chapter 4—how to start thinking about putting a map together in an effective and legible form. Chapter 5 covers map projections, the process of converting the curved earth's surface into a flat representation appropriate for mapping. Chapters 6 and 7 discuss the use of text and color, respectively. Chapter 8 reviews trends in modern cartography to summarize some of the ways the discipline is changing due to new forms of cartographic media that include 3D representations, animated cartography, and mobile cartography. Chapter 9 provides a literature review of the scholarship in cartography. The final component of the book shifts to applied, technical concepts important to cartographic production, covering data quality concepts and the acquisition of geospatial data sources (Chapter 10), and an overview of software applications particularly relevant to modern cartography production: GIS and graphics software (Chapter 11). Chapter 12 concludes the book with examples of real-world cartography projects, discussing the planning, data collection, and design process that lead to the final map products. This book aspires to introduce readers to the foundational concepts—both theoretical and applied—they need to start the actual work of making maps. The accompanying website offers hands-on exercises to guide readers through the production of a map—from conception through to the final version—as well as PowerPoint slides that accompany the text.

**how to lie with maps:** *Lived Topographies and Their Mediational Forces* Gary Backhaus, John Murungi, 2005 This collection explores the various forms of narrative, semiotic, and technological mediation that shape the experience of place. Gary Backhaus and John Murungi have assembled a wide array of scholars who give a unique perspective on the phenomenology of place.

**how to lie with maps: Geo Info Systems** , 1996

**how to lie with maps: How the States Got Their Shapes** Mark Stein, 2008-05-27 Why does Oklahoma have that panhandle? Did someone make a mistake? We are so familiar with the map of the United States that our state borders seem as much a part of nature as mountains and rivers. Even the oddities—the entire state of Maryland(!)—have become so engrained that our map might as well be a giant jigsaw puzzle designed by Divine Providence. But that's where the real mystery begins. Every edge of the familiar wooden jigsaw pieces of our childhood represents a revealing moment of history and of, well, humans drawing lines in the sand. How the States Got Their Shapes is the first book to tackle why our state lines are where they are. Here are the stories behind the stories, right down to the tiny northward jog at the eastern end of Tennessee and the teeny-tiny (and little known) parts of Delaware that are not attached to Delaware but to New Jersey. How the States Got Their Shapes examines: Why West Virginia has a finger creeping up the side of Pennsylvania Why Michigan has an upper peninsula that isn't attached to Michigan Why some Hawaiian islands are not Hawaii Why Texas and California are so outsized, especially when so many Midwestern states are nearly identical in size Packed with fun oddities and trivia, this entertaining guide also reveals the major fault lines of American history, from ideological intrigues and religious intolerance to major territorial acquisitions. Adding the fresh lens of local geographic disputes, military skirmishes, and land grabs, Mark Stein shows how the seemingly haphazard puzzle pieces of our nation fit together perfectly.

**how to lie with maps: ARC User** , 2000

**how to lie with maps:** Cartographic Perspectives , 2004

**how to lie with maps:** GIS World , 1997

**how to lie with maps: ACSM Bulletin** , 2000

**how to lie with maps: The Whole Paddler's Catalog** Zip Kellogg, 1997 For canoeists, kayakers and rafters. Types of paddling boats and gear, voyaging, health and safety, conservation.

**how to lie with maps:** The New Nature of Maps John Brian Harley, 2001 Focusing on historical examples and the practices of modern cartography, J. B. Harley (1932-1991) offers an alternative to the dominant view that Western cartography since the Renaissance has been a progressive technological, scientific, and objective trajectory of development. This traditional view asserts that maps produce an accurate relational model of terrain and, as such, epitomize representational modernism, which is rooted in the project of the Enlightenment; in sum, maps banish subjectivity from the image. Accordingly, cartographers have promoted a standard scientific model for their discipline, one in which a mirror of nature can be projected through geometry and measurement. Cartographers often mistakenly assess early maps by this modern yardstick, excising from the accepted canon of mapping not only maps from the premodern era but also those from other cultures that do not match Western notions of accuracy.--BOOK JACKET.Title Summary field provided by Blackwell North America, Inc. All Rights Reserved

**how to lie with maps: Surveying and Land Information Systems** , 1996

**how to lie with maps:** Cartography and Geographic Information Systems , 1996

**how to lie with maps:** *The Writer's Ultimate Research Guide* Ellen Metter, 1995 With hundreds of listings of books and databases, this book saves writers research time and frustration by pointing them straight to the information they need to create better, more accurate fiction and nonfiction.

**how to lie with maps:** *Planning* , 1991

**how to lie with maps: Journal of Information Ethics** , 1992

**how to lie with maps:** Cartography Borden D. Dent, 1993

**how to lie with maps: Power Teaching** Kay E. Vandergrift, 1994

**how to lie with maps: An Introduction to Geographical Information Systems** D. Ian Heywood, Sarah Cornelius, Steve Carver, 1998 This introductory text to the world of geographical information systems is aimed at students at all levels, from undergraduates to professionals retraining in GIS.

## Related to how to lie with maps

**LIE Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster** lie, prevaricate, equivocate, palter, fib mean to tell an untruth. lie is the blunt term, imputing dishonesty

**Lie - Wikipedia** A lie is an assertion that is believed to be false, typically used with the purpose of deceiving or misleading someone. [1][2][3] The practice of communicating lies is called lying. A person who

**LIE | English meaning - Cambridge Dictionary** LIE definition: 1. to be in or move into a horizontal position on a surface: 2. If something lies in a particular. Learn more

**Lie - Definition, Meaning & Synonyms |** When you don't tell the truth, you lie. You also lie down when you're sleepy and wonder what lies ahead of you

**LIE definition in American English | Collins English Dictionary** A lie is something that someone says or writes which they know is untrue. "Who else do you work for?"—"No one."—"That's a lie." I've had enough of your lies

**LIE Synonyms: 146 Similar and Opposite Words - Merriam-Webster** Some common synonyms of lie are equivocate, fib, palter, and prevaricate. While all these words mean "to tell an untruth," lie is the blunt term, imputing dishonesty

**Crash on LIE near Jake’s 58 closes westbound lanes - News 12** 16 hours ago Suffolk police say a crash on the LIE at Exit 58 has closed all westbound lanes on the Long Island Expressway. Police

have not yet shared details surrounding the crash

**Lie - definition of lie by The Free Dictionary** 1. A false statement deliberately presented as being true; a falsehood. 2. Something meant to deceive or mistakenly accepted as true: learned his parents had been swindlers and felt his

**LIE Definition & Meaning |** Lie definition: a false statement made with deliberate intent to deceive; an intentional untruth.. See examples of LIE used in a sentence

**lie - Dictionary of English** v.t. to bring about or affect by lying (often used reflexively): to lie oneself out of a difficulty; accustomed to lying his way out of difficulties. Idioms lie in one's throat or teeth, to lie grossly

**LIE Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster** lie, prevaricate, equivocate, palter, fib mean to tell an untruth. lie is the blunt term, imputing dishonesty

**Lie - Wikipedia** A lie is an assertion that is believed to be false, typically used with the purpose of deceiving or misleading someone. [1][2][3] The practice of communicating lies is called lying. A person who

**LIE | English meaning - Cambridge Dictionary** LIE definition: 1. to be in or move into a horizontal position on a surface: 2. If something lies in a particular. Learn more

**Lie - Definition, Meaning & Synonyms |** When you don't tell the truth, you lie. You also lie down when you're sleepy and wonder what lies ahead of you

**LIE definition in American English | Collins English Dictionary** A lie is something that someone says or writes which they know is untrue. "Who else do you work for?"—"No one."—"That's a lie." I've had enough of your lies

**LIE Synonyms: 146 Similar and Opposite Words - Merriam-Webster** Some common synonyms of lie are equivocate, fib, palter, and prevaricate. While all these words mean "to tell an untruth," lie is the blunt term, imputing dishonesty

**Crash on LIE near Jake’s 58 closes westbound lanes - News 12** 16 hours ago Suffolk police say a crash on the LIE at Exit 58 has closed all westbound lanes on the Long Island Expressway. Police have not yet shared details surrounding the crash

**Lie - definition of lie by The Free Dictionary** 1. A false statement deliberately presented as being true; a falsehood. 2. Something meant to deceive or mistakenly accepted as true: learned his parents had been swindlers and felt his

**LIE Definition & Meaning |** Lie definition: a false statement made with deliberate intent to deceive; an intentional untruth.. See examples of LIE used in a sentence

**lie - Dictionary of English** v.t. to bring about or affect by lying (often used reflexively): to lie oneself out of a difficulty; accustomed to lying his way out of difficulties. Idioms lie in one's throat or teeth, to lie grossly

## Related to how to lie with maps

**Africa Is 7 Times Bigger Than Europe. The World Map We're Used To Is A Lie** (11don MSN) The Mercator world map, long a fixture in classrooms globally, makes the European Union appear almost as large as Africa. In reality, Africa is more than seven times bigger

**Africa Is 7 Times Bigger Than Europe. The World Map We're Used To Is A Lie** (11don MSN) The Mercator world map, long a fixture in classrooms globally, makes the European Union appear almost as large as Africa. In reality, Africa is more than seven times bigger

**'Correcting The Map': reshaping perceptions of Africa** (Yahoo23d) Jean-Michel CORNU/AFP/AFP The Mercator world map, long a fixture in classrooms globally, makes the European Union appear almost as large as Africa. In reality, Africa is more than seven times bigger

**'Correcting The Map': reshaping perceptions of Africa** (Yahoo23d) Jean-Michel CORNU/AFP/AFP The Mercator world map, long a fixture in classrooms globally, makes the European Union appear almost as large as Africa. In reality, Africa is more than seven times bigger

Back to Home: https://old.rga.ca