

largest battle in history by number of combatants

Largest Battle in History by Number of Combatants: An Epic Clash of Armies

largest battle in history by number of combatants is a fascinating topic that captures the imagination of historians, military enthusiasts, and curious readers alike. Throughout human history, numerous battles have shaped the course of civilizations, but a few stand out due to the sheer scale of forces involved. When we talk about the largest battles, it's not just about strategy, tactics, or outcomes—it's about the massive mobilization of troops, logistics, and the staggering numbers of soldiers clashing on the battlefield.

If you're intrigued by military history or just want to understand how epic confrontations unfolded in the past, exploring the largest battle in history by number of combatants reveals some astonishing facts and stories. Let's dive in and uncover some of the most massive battles ever fought, what made them so significant, and why they remain pivotal in understanding warfare on a grand scale.

The Largest Battle in History by Number of Combatants: An Overview

When historians try to pinpoint the largest battle in history by number of combatants, the answer isn't always straightforward. Different battles hold records for different reasons—some for the sheer number of soldiers involved at once, others for the total forces engaged over several days, and a few for the scale of casualties.

Generally, scholars agree that the Battle of Stalingrad, the Battle of Kursk, and the Battle of the Somme rank among the largest in terms of troop numbers. However, the Battle of Kursk, fought during World War II, frequently claims the top spot for involving the greatest number of combatants on a single battlefield.

The Battle of Kursk: A Colossal Clash

The Battle of Kursk, which took place in July and August 1943, is often cited as the largest tank battle in history and one of the largest battles by the number of combatants. It was a decisive confrontation between Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union on the Eastern Front of World War II.

- **Combatants involved:** Approximately 2 million soldiers combined from both sides.
- **Armored vehicles:** Over 6,000 tanks and assault guns.
- **Aircraft:** Nearly 4,000 aircraft participated.

This massive engagement showcased not just the immense numbers of troops but also the scale of technology and firepower deployed. The battle's intensity and size had far-reaching consequences, marking a turning point in the war as the Soviet forces halted the German advance and began pushing westward.

Why Did the Largest Battles Involve So Many Combatants?

Understanding why some battles escalated to involve millions of soldiers requires looking into the context of the wars in which they occurred. Several factors contribute to the unprecedented scale of such battles:

1. **Total War and Mobilization:** Wars like World War I and World War II saw nations mobilize entire populations and economies. This meant massive armies were raised, equipped, and sent to fight simultaneously.
2. **Strategic Importance:** Certain locations were critical for control over regions, resources, or political leverage. Battles fought in these areas attracted huge forces from opposing sides, each determined to secure victory.
3. **Technological Advances:** With improvements in transportation, communication, and weaponry, armies could coordinate larger numbers of troops more effectively than ever before.
4. **Alliance Systems:** Complex alliances meant that multiple countries contributed forces to the same battlefield, swelling the numbers involved.

Examples of Other Massive Battles by Combatant Numbers

While the Battle of Kursk is often the largest in pure numbers, several other battles are notable for their scale:

- **The Battle of the Somme (1916):** One of the bloodiest battles of World War I, involving over 3 million men combined from the British, French, and German armies. Though spread over several months, its initial phases involved massive troop concentrations.
- **The Battle of Stalingrad (1942-1943):** Another crucial World War II battle, involving around 2 million soldiers. The urban warfare and brutal conditions made it one of the deadliest battles in history.
- **The Battle of Cannae (216 BC):** Ancient battles could also involve large numbers, and Cannae is famous for Hannibal's tactical genius, where tens of thousands of soldiers fought in a single engagement.

Logistics of Managing Millions of Combatants

The sheer number of combatants in these epic battles presents enormous logistical challenges. Feeding, arming, transporting, and coordinating millions of troops requires incredible organization and infrastructure.

Supply Chains and Communication

Maintaining supply lines for such large armies was a monumental task. Armies needed constant delivery of food, ammunition, medical supplies, and fuel. Failures in logistics could lead to disastrous consequences, as seen in some historical campaigns.

Communication systems had to keep commanders informed and able to issue orders rapidly. The use of radio, telegraph, and runners was critical in large battles to maintain coordination across vast fronts.

Morale and Psychological Impact

Fighting in massive battles could be overwhelming for individual soldiers. The noise, scale, and casualties often had profound psychological effects. Commanders sometimes used sheer numbers to intimidate the enemy or exhaust their forces.

What Can We Learn from the Largest Battle in History by Number of Combatants?

Studying the largest battle in history by number of combatants offers valuable lessons beyond military trivia. It helps us understand the evolution of warfare, the impact of technology, and the human cost of conflict.

- **The importance of strategy and planning:** No matter how large the armies, clever tactics often determined the winner.
- **The role of technology:** Innovations in weaponry and communication changed how battles were fought.
- **The human dimension:** Behind the numbers are millions of individuals whose lives were forever changed.

How History Shapes Modern Military Thought

Modern military planners study these historic battles to avoid past mistakes and build on successful tactics. The emphasis on mobility, intelligence, and precision can be traced back to lessons learned in large-scale clashes.

Final Thoughts on the Largest Battle in History by Number of Combatants

The sheer scale of battles like Kursk, Stalingrad, and the Somme is almost unimaginable today. These confrontations involved millions of soldiers, thousands of tanks, and waves of aircraft, all

converging to shape the destiny of nations. Exploring the largest battle in history by number of combatants not only satisfies curiosity but opens a window into the complexities of warfare and human endurance.

Whether you're a history buff or just someone intrigued by grand stories of conflict, these epic battles remind us how far humanity has come—and how the lessons of the past continue to influence the world.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is considered the largest battle in history by number of combatants?

The Battle of Stalingrad during World War II is often considered the largest battle in history by number of combatants, involving over 2 million soldiers combined from the Soviet Union and Nazi Germany.

How many combatants participated in the Battle of Stalingrad?

Approximately 2 million combatants participated in the Battle of Stalingrad, including soldiers from both the Soviet Red Army and the German Wehrmacht, along with their allies.

Why is the Battle of Stalingrad regarded as the largest battle by combatants?

The Battle of Stalingrad is regarded as the largest battle by combatants due to the massive scale of forces involved, intense urban warfare, and the prolonged duration from August 1942 to February 1943, drawing millions of troops into direct conflict.

Are there other battles in history that come close in size to the Battle of Stalingrad?

Yes, other large battles include the Battle of Kursk and the Battle of the Somme, which also involved hundreds of thousands to over a million combatants, but Stalingrad remains notable for its scale and intensity.

What factors contributed to the massive number of combatants in the Battle of Stalingrad?

Factors included the strategic importance of Stalingrad, the prolonged nature of the battle, mobilization of reserves by both sides, and the involvement of Axis allies alongside German forces.

How did the number of combatants impact the outcome of the Battle of Stalingrad?

The vast number of combatants resulted in intense and grueling combat, high casualties, and eventually led to the encirclement and surrender of the German 6th Army, marking a turning point in World War II on the Eastern Front.

Additional Resources

Largest Battle in History by Number of Combatants: An Analytical Review

largest battle in history by number of combatants stands as a significant point of inquiry for military historians, strategists, and enthusiasts. Understanding which confrontation involved the greatest concentration of forces offers insights not only into the scale of warfare but also into the logistical, tactical, and human factors that defined these monumental clashes. While numerous battles throughout history have involved hundreds of thousands of troops, pinpointing the absolute largest requires careful examination of historical records, estimates, and the nature of combatant involvement.

Defining the Largest Battle: Criteria and Challenges

Before delving into specific historical battles, it is essential to clarify what constitutes the “largest battle in history by number of combatants.” The term typically refers to the total number of soldiers engaged on both sides during a single battle event or campaign phase. However, historians face several challenges in establishing exact numbers:

- **Historical Record Variability:** Ancient and medieval battles often lack reliable primary sources, leading to conflicting estimates.
- **Combatant Definitions:** Whether to include only frontline infantry or support troops, reinforcements, and irregular forces impacts counts.
- **Duration and Scope:** Some battles spanned days or weeks, with fluctuating troop involvement, complicating the assessment of peak combatant numbers.

Despite these complexities, several battles stand out due to their massive troop deployments and strategic importance.

The Battle of Stalingrad: A Modern Example of Massive Engagement

One of the most frequently cited contenders for the largest battle in history by number of combatants is the Battle of Stalingrad, fought between August 1942 and February 1943 during World War II. This battle was pivotal in the Eastern Front conflict between Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union.

Scale and Numbers

Estimates suggest that approximately 2 million combatants were involved over the course of the battle, including soldiers, tank crews, and airmen. The German Sixth Army, supported by Romanian, Italian, and Hungarian allies, faced massive Soviet forces determined to defend the city. The battle's intensity and attrition rates were staggering, with casualties reaching over 2 million when considering both dead and wounded.

Logistical and Tactical Considerations

The urban environment of Stalingrad created unique tactical challenges, requiring close-quarters combat, house-to-house fighting, and significant use of artillery and snipers. The Soviet encirclement of German forces marked a turning point in the war, demonstrating how large-scale troop deployments could be leveraged strategically.

The Battle of Kursk: The Largest Tank Battle and Massive Troop Deployment

Often linked with the largest battle by combatants is the Battle of Kursk in July 1943, considered the largest tank battle in history but also notable for its massive infantry and artillery involvement.

Troop Strength and Composition

The battle involved approximately 2 million soldiers across the German and Soviet armies. The Soviets deployed around 1.9 million troops, with the Germans fielding nearly a million, supported by thousands of tanks and aircraft. This immense concentration of forces underscores why Kursk remains a significant case study in the scale and coordination of mechanized warfare.

Strategic Importance and Outcomes

Kursk was a decisive Soviet victory that halted the German offensive capabilities on the Eastern Front. The sheer number of combatants engaged highlights the evolution of warfare, where industrial-scale mobilization and mechanization redefined battlefield dynamics.

Historical Perspectives: Ancient and Medieval Battles

While 20th-century battles like Stalingrad and Kursk involved millions of troops due to modern logistics and conscription, earlier battles also featured vast numbers of combatants, though estimates are often less precise.

The Battle of Gaugamela (331 BC)

Alexander the Great's clash with Darius III of Persia is frequently cited as one of the largest ancient battles. Estimates vary, but some suggest that Persian forces numbered up to 250,000 to 500,000, while Alexander commanded around 47,000 troops. Though smaller in scale than modern engagements, the battle's significance and scale in the ancient world are noteworthy.

The Battle of Cannae (216 BC)

Fought between Hannibal's Carthaginian army and Roman forces, Cannae involved approximately 86,000 Roman soldiers and 50,000 Carthaginians. Though not the largest numerically, the battle's tactical brilliance and devastating casualties make it a classic study in military history.

Comparative Analysis: Factors Influencing Combatant Numbers

Several factors contribute to why certain battles become the largest in history by number of combatants:

1. **Population and Mobilization Capacity:** Empires with large populations could field massive armies, seen in 20th-century total wars.
2. **Technological Advances:** Improvements in transportation, communication, and industrial production allowed for rapid troop movement and supply.
3. **Geopolitical Stakes:** Battles that determined the fate of nations or empires often drew large-scale deployments.
4. **Military Doctrine:** Some eras emphasized massed infantry and cavalry, while others focused on maneuver warfare.

Assessing the largest battle in history by number of combatants thus requires contextual understanding beyond mere figures.

Why Understanding the Largest Battle by Combatants Matters

Studying the largest battles in history offers multiple benefits for military scholarship and education:

- **Strategic Insights:** Understanding how large armies were coordinated provides lessons in command and control.
- **Human Cost Awareness:** Large-scale battles often resulted in massive casualties, highlighting the human toll of warfare.
- **Technological Impact:** The evolution of weaponry and logistics is reflected in the scale of combatant deployment.
- **Cultural and Political Impact:** Such battles often shaped the course of history, influencing borders, governments, and societies.

The largest battle in history by number of combatants thus serves as a critical lens through which to examine the development and consequences of armed conflict.

Other Noteworthy Contenders

Several other battles claim significance for their scale:

- **Battle of the Somme (1916):** One of World War I's bloodiest battles, involving over 3 million men over several months.
- **Battle of Berlin (1945):** Marked the final major offensive in Europe during World War II, involving millions of Soviet and German troops.
- **Battle of Leipzig (1813):** Also known as the Battle of Nations, involved over 600,000 soldiers from multiple allied forces against Napoleon.

Each example illustrates different historical contexts and military capabilities, contributing to the broader discussion of scale in warfare.

In conclusion, the title of the largest battle in history by number of combatants is not attributed to a single, uncontested event but rather a category of battles characterized by vast mobilization and intense conflict. From ancient battlefields to the mechanized warfare of the 20th century, these engagements reflect the evolving nature of war and the enduring human capacity for organizing in conflict.

Largest Battle In History By Number Of Combatants

Find other PDF articles:

<https://old.rga.ca/archive-th-024/files?docid=hMK70-6641&title=happy-tails-dog-training.pdf>

largest battle in history by number of combatants: The Great War Marc Ferro, 2003-10-06
First published in 2003. Routledge is an imprint of Taylor & Francis, an informa company.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: Military History of the United States (Early Exploration through American Civil War) Rodger Woltjer,

largest battle in history by number of combatants: Great War, Total War Roger Chickering, Stig Förster, 2000-09-11 The First World War was the first large-scale industrialized military conflict in the world's history, and it gave birth to the concept of total war. The essays in this 2000 volume analyse the experience of the war in light of this concept's implications, in particular the systematic erosion of distinctions between the military and civilian spheres. With an emphasis on developments in Germany, France, Great Britain and the United States, leading scholars from Europe and North America locate the First World War along a trajectory that began in the wars of the middle of the nineteenth century and culminated in worldwide conflict in the middle of the twentieth. The essays explore the efforts of soldiers and statesmen, industrialists and financiers, professionals and civilian activists to adjust to the titanic, pervasive pressures that the military stalemate on the western front imposed on belligerent and neutral societies.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: Ripples of Battle Victor Davis Hanson, 2004-10-12 The effects of war refuse to remain local: they persist through the centuries, sometimes in unlikely ways far removed from the military arena. In *Ripples of Battle*, the acclaimed historian Victor Davis Hanson weaves wide-ranging military and cultural history with his unparalleled gift for battle narrative as he illuminates the centrality of war in the human experience. The Athenian defeat at Delium in 424 BC brought tactical innovations to infantry fighting; it also assured the influence of the philosophy of Socrates, who fought well in the battle. Nearly twenty-three hundred years later, the carnage at Shiloh and the death of the brilliant Southern strategist Albert Sidney Johnson inspired a sense of fateful tragedy that would endure and stymie Southern culture for decades. The Northern victory would also bolster the reputation of William Tecumseh Sherman, and inspire Lew Wallace to pen the classic *Ben Hur*. And, perhaps most resonant for our time, the agony of Okinawa spurred the Japanese toward state-sanctioned suicide missions, a tactic so uncompromising and subversive, it haunts our view of non-Western combatants to this day.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: The Rise and Decline of the State Martin van Creveld, 1999-08-26 This unique volume traces the history of the state from its beginnings to the present day.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: Chambers's Edinburgh Journal , 1904

largest battle in history by number of combatants: Chambers's Journal of Popular Literature, Science, and Arts , 1904

largest battle in history by number of combatants: August 1914 Bruno Cabanes, 2016-08-23 A renowned military historian closely examines the first month of World War I in France. On August 1, 1914, war erupted into the lives of millions of families across France. Most people thought the conflict would last just a few weeks . . . Yet before the month was out, twenty-seven thousand French soldiers died on the single day of August 22 alone—the worst catastrophe in French military history. Refugees streamed into France as the German army advanced, spreading rumors that amplified still more the ordeal of war. Citizens of enemy countries who were living in France were viciously scapegoated. Drawing from diaries, personal correspondence, police reports, and government archives, Bruno Cabanes renders an intimate, narrative-driven study of the first weeks of World War

I in France. Told from the perspective of ordinary women and men caught in the flood of mobilization, this revealing book deepens our understanding of the traumatic impact of war on soldiers and civilians alike. "An exceptional book, a brilliant, moving, and insightful analysis of national mobilization." —Martha Hanna, author of *Your Death Would Be Mine: Paul and Marie Pireaud in the Great War* "This book deserves a wide readership from historians, critics and anyone interested in the catastrophe of war." —Mary Louise Roberts, Distinguished Lucie Aubrac and Plaenert-Bascom Professor of History, University of Wisconsin, Madison "The sounds, sights and emotions of August, 1914 are all evoked with exceptional skill." —David A. Bell, author of *The First Total War: Napoleon's Europe and the Birth of Warfare as We Know It*

largest battle in history by number of combatants: Routledge Handbook of Premodern Japanese History Karl F. Friday, 2017-07-14 Scholarship on premodern Japan has grown spectacularly over the past four decades, in both sophistication and volume. The new scholarship sees a higher reliance on primary documents, a shift away from the history of elites to broader exploration of social structures, and a reexamination of many of the key tenets which were once the received wisdom. Providing a primarily historiographical review, this handbook highlights the recent innovations and major themes that have developed in the study of premodern Japanese history. Covering Japanese history to 1600, *The Routledge Handbook of Japanese History* is an essential reference work for any student and researcher on Japanese, Asian and World History.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: *The World's Work*, 1904 A history of our time.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: *World's Cyclopedia of History*, 1883

largest battle in history by number of combatants: *The History of India for Children* Archana Garodia Gupta, Shruti Garodia, 2018-08-06 Rembrandt sketched portraits of Jahangir. Akbar's pet cheetah had its own personal drum procession. A British official often paraded across Chandni Chowk with his 13 Indian wives on elephants behind him. Mahatma Gandhi took his goat, Nirmala, with him everywhere ? even via ship to London! Discover even more amazing facts about our grand old country in this volume. Travel through time and see how people lived, why things happened and how we came to be what we are. Written by BBC Mastermind `Champion of Champions? Archana Garodia Gupta and history-geek Shruti Garodia, this volume covers the centuries from the Mughals to Modi, and continues the story of India's movers and shakers, artists and traders, patriots and traitors, and the millions of ordinary folk. With a chatty style, simple explanations and well-rounded coverage, this is the definitive Indian history in two volumes for young readers. Filled with photographs, illustrations, activities and quotable facts, this is one retelling of history you don't want to miss.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: Chambers's Journal of Popular Literature, Science and Arts, 1940

largest battle in history by number of combatants: *The Stalinist Era* David L. Hoffmann, 2018-11-15 Placing Stalinism in its international context, David L. Hoffmann presents a new interpretation of Soviet state intervention and violence. Many 'Stalinist' practices - the state-run economy, surveillance, propaganda campaigns, and the use of concentration camps - did not originate with Stalin or even in Russia, but were instead tools of governance that became widespread throughout Europe during the First World War. The Soviet system was formed at this moment of total war, and wartime practices of mobilization and state violence became building blocks of the new political order. Communist Party leaders in turn used these practices ruthlessly to pursue their ideological agenda of economic and social transformation. Synthesizing new research on Stalinist collectivization, industrialization, cultural affairs, gender roles, nationality policies, the Second World War, and the Cold War, Hoffmann provides a succinct account of this pivotal period in world history.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: *Chambers's Journal*, 1904

largest battle in history by number of combatants: *Heavenly Warriors* William Wayne Farris, 2020-03-23 "In a government, military matters are the essential thing," said Japan's

"Heavenly Warrior," the Emperor Temmu, in 684. Heavenly Warriors traces in detail the evolutionary development of weaponry, horsemanship, military organization, and tactics from Japan's early conflicts with Korea up to the full-blown system of the samurai. Enhanced by illustrations and maps, and with a new preface by the author, this book will be indispensable for students of military history and Japanese political history.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: **Victoria Cross** ,

largest battle in history by number of combatants: Let Us Tell It G. P. Kotzur, 1996-06-15
How it was, how it is, and how it will be in the future

largest battle in history by number of combatants: The Posen Library of Jewish Culture and Civilization, Volume 7 Israel Bartal, Kenneth B. Moss, 2024-01-05 Volume 7 of the Posen Library captures unprecedented transformations of Jewish culture amid mass migration, global capitalism, nationalism, revolution, and the birth of the secular self Between 1880 and 1918, traditions and regimes collapsed around the world, migration and imperialism remade the lives of millions, nationalism and secularization transformed selves and collectives, utopias beckoned, and new kinds of social conflict threatened as never before. Few communities experienced the pressures and possibilities of the era more profoundly than the world's Jews. This volume, seventh in The Posen Library of Jewish Culture and Civilization, recaptures the vibrant Jewish cultural creativity, political striving, social experimentation, and fractious religious and secular thought that burst forth in the face of these challenges. Editors Israel Bartal and Kenneth B. Moss capture the full range of Jewish expression in a centrifugal age--from mystical visions to unabashedly antitraditional Jewish political thought, from cookbooks to literary criticism, from modernist poetry to vaudeville. They also highlight the most remarkable dimension of the 1880-1918 era: an audacious effort by newly secular Jews to replace Judaism itself with a new kind of Jewish culture centering on this-worldly, aesthetic creativity by a posited Jewish nation and the secular, modern, and free individuals who composed it. This volume is an essential starting point for anyone who wishes to understand the divided Jewish present.

largest battle in history by number of combatants: Conflict in Ancient Greece and Rome Sara Elise Phang, Iain Spence Ph.D., Douglas Kelly Ph.D., Peter Londey Ph.D., 2016-06-27 The complex role warfare played in ancient Greek and Roman civilizations is examined through coverage of key wars and battles; important leaders, armies, organizations, and weapons; and other noteworthy aspects of conflict. Conflict in Ancient Greece and Rome: The Definitive Political, Social, and Military Encyclopedia is an outstandingly comprehensive reference work on its subject. Covering wars, battles, places, individuals, and themes, this thoroughly cross-referenced three-volume set provides essential support to any student or general reader investigating ancient Greek history and conflicts as well as the social and political institutions of the Roman Republic and Empire. The set covers ancient Greek history from archaic times to the Roman conquest and ancient Roman history from early Rome to the fall of the Western Roman Empire in 476 CE. It features a general foreword, prefaces to both sections on Greek history and Roman history, and maps and chronologies of events that precede each entry section. Each section contains alphabetically ordered articles—including ones addressing topics not traditionally considered part of military history, such as noncombatants and war and gender—followed by cross-references to related articles and suggested further reading. Also included are glossaries of Greek and Latin terms, topically organized bibliographies, and selected primary documents in translation.

Related to largest battle in history by number of combatants

What is the difference between "largest" and "biggest"? What is the largest lake in the world? Compare this to 'the biggest lake'. To my mind, the largest is the one with the greatest surface area, the biggest may have a smaller surface area but be

The largest, greatest, highest or biggest number of The largest, greatest, highest or biggest number of . . Ask Question Asked 6 years, 11 months ago Modified 6 years, 11 months ago

In mathematics, when referring to pure numbers is largest or When referring to a list of

number is largest or biggest correct? For example, I want to find the biggest number in an array. Or should it be the largest number. Finally, would either biggest or

mathematics - greatest or largest number - English Language a) 7 is the smallest and 9 is the greatest number or b) 7 is the smallest and 9 is the largest number The research so far indicates that both terms largest and greatest are used

phrases - "single largest" or "largest single" - English Language In "the single largest factor", "single" is technically redundant, save for excluding the possibility of joint winners. When used (by someone who understands the language) it serves

"Largest snake to ever live discovered in an Indian mine." VS This is a title from a scientific magazine about how various animals lived on earth thousands of years ago. Here is the title: Largest snake to ever live discovered in an Indian

word usage - Can we say "majority" to the largest portion when it What about the second pie chart? In the second pie chart the largest portion is less than 50%. Can I still consider the group "c" as majority in it? Based on the Cambridge

How should we describe the largest group in a set when its share The largest share of the region's cost estimate total belongs to projects classified as "long term", which accounts for 9% of the region's projects and 47% of the region's cost

"Majority" is defined as the larger part of something. Is the If it is the largest, it may not be the majority if there are three or more parts. If something is 40%, while two other things are 30% apiece, it's the largest, but it does not

grammar - "smaller to larger" vs "smallest to largest" - English Would it be ok to say "from smaller to larger" or do I have to say "from smallest to largest" E.g., I'm using the batteries from smallest/smaller to largest/larger capacity

What is the difference between "largest" and "biggest"? What is the largest lake in the world? Compare this to 'the biggest lake'. To my mind, the largest is the one with the greatest surface area, the biggest may have a smaller surface area but be

The largest, greatest, highest or biggest number of The largest, greatest, highest or biggest number of . . Ask Question Asked 6 years, 11 months ago Modified 6 years, 11 months ago

In mathematics, when referring to pure numbers is largest or When referring to a list of number is largest or biggest correct? For example, I want to find the biggest number in an array. Or should it be the largest number. Finally, would either biggest or

mathematics - greatest or largest number - English Language a) 7 is the smallest and 9 is the greatest number or b) 7 is the smallest and 9 is the largest number The research so far indicates that both terms largest and greatest are used

phrases - "single largest" or "largest single" - English Language In "the single largest factor", "single" is technically redundant, save for excluding the possibility of joint winners. When used (by someone who understands the language) it serves

"Largest snake to ever live discovered in an Indian mine." VS This is a title from a scientific magazine about how various animals lived on earth thousands of years ago. Here is the title: Largest snake to ever live discovered in an Indian

word usage - Can we say "majority" to the largest portion when it What about the second pie chart? In the second pie chart the largest portion is less than 50%. Can I still consider the group "c" as majority in it? Based on the Cambridge

How should we describe the largest group in a set when its share The largest share of the region's cost estimate total belongs to projects classified as "long term", which accounts for 9% of the region's projects and 47% of the region's cost

"Majority" is defined as the larger part of something. Is the If it is the largest, it may not be the majority if there are three or more parts. If something is 40%, while two other things are 30% apiece, it's the largest, but it does not

grammar - "smaller to larger" vs "smallest to largest" - English Would it be ok to say "from smaller to larger" or do I have to say "from smallest to largest" E.g., I'm using the batteries from

smallest/smaller to largest/larger capacity

What is the difference between "largest" and "biggest"? What is the largest lake in the world? Compare this to 'the biggest lake'. To my mind, the largest is the one with the greatest surface area, the biggest may have a smaller surface area but be

The largest, greatest, highest or biggest number of The largest, greatest, highest or biggest number of . . . Ask Question Asked 6 years, 11 months ago Modified 6 years, 11 months ago

In mathematics, when referring to pure numbers is largest or biggest When referring to a list of number is largest or biggest correct? For example, I want to find the biggest number in an array. Or should it be the largest number. Finally, would either biggest or

mathematics - greatest or largest number - English Language a) 7 is the smallest and 9 is the greatest number or b) 7 is the smallest and 9 is the largest number The research so far indicates that both terms largest and greatest are used

phrases - "single largest" or "largest single" - English Language In "the single largest factor", "single" is technically redundant, save for excluding the possibility of joint winners. When used (by someone who understands the language) it's

"Largest snake to ever live discovered in an Indian mine." VS This is a title from a scientific magazine about how various animals lived on earth thousands of years ago. Here is the title: Largest snake to ever live discovered in an Indian

word usage - Can we say "majority" to the largest portion when it What about the second pie chart? In the second pie chart the largest portion is less than 50%. Can I still consider the group "c" as majority in it? Based on the Cambridge

How should we describe the largest group in a set when its share The largest share of the region's cost estimate total belongs to projects classified as "long term", which accounts for 9% of the region's projects and 47% of the region's cost

"Majority" is defined as the larger part of something. Is the If it is the largest, it may not be the majority if there are three or more parts. If something is 40%, while two other things are 30% apiece, it's the largest, but it does not

grammar - "smaller to larger" vs "smallest to largest" - English Would it be ok to say "from smaller to larger" or do I have to say "from smallest to largest" E.g., I'm using the batteries from smallest/smaller to largest/larger capacity

What is the difference between "largest" and "biggest"? What is the largest lake in the world? Compare this to 'the biggest lake'. To my mind, the largest is the one with the greatest surface area, the biggest may have a smaller surface area but be

The largest, greatest, highest or biggest number of The largest, greatest, highest or biggest number of . . . Ask Question Asked 6 years, 11 months ago Modified 6 years, 11 months ago

In mathematics, when referring to pure numbers is largest or When referring to a list of number is largest or biggest correct? For example, I want to find the biggest number in an array. Or should it be the largest number. Finally, would either biggest or

mathematics - greatest or largest number - English Language a) 7 is the smallest and 9 is the greatest number or b) 7 is the smallest and 9 is the largest number The research so far indicates that both terms largest and greatest are used

phrases - "single largest" or "largest single" - English Language In "the single largest factor", "single" is technically redundant, save for excluding the possibility of joint winners. When used (by someone who understands the language) it's serves

"Largest snake to ever live discovered in an Indian mine." VS This is a title from a scientific magazine about how various animals lived on earth thousands of years ago. Here is the title: Largest snake to ever live discovered in an Indian

word usage - Can we say "majority" to the largest portion when it What about the second pie chart? In the second pie chart the largest portion is less than 50%. Can I still consider the group "c" as majority in it? Based on the Cambridge

How should we describe the largest group in a set when its share The largest share of the

region's cost estimate total belongs to projects classified as "long term", which accounts for 9% of the region's projects and 47% of the region's cost

"Majority" is defined as the larger part of something. Is the If it is the largest, it may not be the majority if there are three or more parts. If something is 40%, while two other things are 30% apiece, it's the largest, but it does not

grammar - "smaller to larger" vs "smallest to largest" - English Would it be ok to say "from smaller to larger" or do I have to say "from smallest to largest" E.g., I'm using the batteries from smallest/smaller to largest/larger capacity

Related to largest battle in history by number of combatants

The Battle of Towton | Was It Really the Bloodiest in English History? (History Hit TV on MSN11d) The Battle of Towton in 1461 has long held the distinction of being the largest and bloodiest battle on English soil. A

The Battle of Towton | Was It Really the Bloodiest in English History? (History Hit TV on MSN11d) The Battle of Towton in 1461 has long held the distinction of being the largest and bloodiest battle on English soil. A

The Last Battleship vs. Battleship Battle Ever (Hosted on MSN22d) The Battle of Leyte Gulf was fought near the Philippines over several days with a number of different engagements. The combined conflict made it the largest naval battle of the Second World War, and

The Last Battleship vs. Battleship Battle Ever (Hosted on MSN22d) The Battle of Leyte Gulf was fought near the Philippines over several days with a number of different engagements. The combined conflict made it the largest naval battle of the Second World War, and

This Day in History: September 18, 1863, Largest battle ever fought in Georgia begins (WALB on MSN11d) ALBANY, Ga. (WALB) - On September 18, 1863, the largest battle ever fought in the state of Georgia began. The Battle of Chickamauga — part of the Civil War — was fought from September 18 to 20 in

This Day in History: September 18, 1863, Largest battle ever fought in Georgia begins (WALB on MSN11d) ALBANY, Ga. (WALB) - On September 18, 1863, the largest battle ever fought in the state of Georgia began. The Battle of Chickamauga — part of the Civil War — was fought from September 18 to 20 in

Back to Home: <https://old.rga.ca>